Dengue epidemiology and pathogenesis: images of the future viewed through a mirror of the past
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Every year, millions of individuals throughout the world are seriously affected by dengue virus. The unavailability of a vaccine and of anti-viral drugs has made this mosquito-borne disease a serious health concern. Not only does dengue cause fatalities but it also has a profoundly negative economic impact. In recent decades, extensive research has been performed on epidemiology, vector biology, life cycle, pathogenesis, vaccine development and prevention. Although dengue research is still not at a stage to suggest definite hopes of a cure, encouraging significant advances have provided remarkable progress in the fight against infection. Recent developments indicate that both anti-viral drug and vaccine research should be pursued, in parallel with vector control programs.
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INTRODUCTION

Dengue has been an issue of increasing public health concern over the past few decades, although the disease achieved a worldwide distribution as long ago as the 18th century, in parallel with industrial expansion (Gubler, 2006). Currently, there is a risk of infection for over 2.5 billion people in the tropics and subtropics and there are 390 million dengue infections reported per year, in about 125 countries worldwide (Bhatt et al., 2013). Dengue virus (DENV) one of the major human pathogenic, mosquito-borne viruses, belongs to the Flaviviridae family. Its four established serotypes (DENV-1 to DENV-4) have been known for many years. Recently, the existence of a fifth serotype has been postulated (Normile, 2013; da Silva Voorham, 2014; Mustafa et al., 2015; Wiwanitkit, 2015). However, unequivocal demonstration of this serotype awaits the recovery of an isolate, and this would need to be characterized using definitive tests to confirm, or conversely to refute, its uniqueness. Although a large number of potential DENV vectors have been considered, evidence shows that Aedes aegypti, the Yellow Fever mosquito, and Aedes albopictus, the Asian tiger mosquito, are the major vectors for dengue transmission. Both species are distributed mainly in the tropical regions of Asia, Africa, Australia, the South Pacific, the Americas and some parts of the Middle East (Higa, 2011). The nature of the disease that is transmitted via the bite of an infectious mosquito varies from a mild self-limiting illness and dengue fever (DF) to severe forms such as dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS). A combination of the unavailability of effective anti-viral drugs and vaccines with a reliance on symp-
tomatic treatment has made it a focus of study for the health sector, to be investigated at the most fundamental level. Priorities in dengue research are therefore directed principally towards the instigation of an efficient management system, implementation of effective vector control, and studies of immunopathogenesis in order to facilitate the development of anti-viral agents and the possible production of multivalent vaccines.

STRUCTURE, ENTRY AND REPLICATION

Dengue virus comprises an enveloped, positive-strand genome of approximately 11 kb in length, which encodes three structural and seven non-structural proteins (Ma et al., 2004; Guzman et al., 2010; Rodenhuis-Zybert et al., 2010). The structural proteins include capsid protein (C), membrane protein (M) and envelope protein (E), while the non-structural (NS) proteins are NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B and NS5 (Welsch et al., 2009). Encapsulation of the RNA genome is mediated by multiple copies of C protein (11 kDa) and results in a viral nucleocapsid, surrounded by a lipid bi-layer in which, remarkably, 180 copies of anchored M and E proteins are present (Modis et al., 2005; Nybakken et al., 2006). The processing of a precursor protein (prM) results in a mature (M) protein of approximately 8 kDa, which plays a regulatory role in virus fusion, virus entry and E protein folding (Heinz et al., 2003; Hsieh et al., 2011). Membrane glycoprotein E (56 kDa), consists of three structural domains (domain I, domain II and domain III), which are involved in membrane fusion, virion morphogenesis and receptor binding (Acosta et al., 2014). NS1 (46 kDa) glycoprotein can be categorized in three forms: endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-resident form, membrane-anchored form and secreted form (sNS1). NS1 contains two glycosylation sites, N130 and N207, which are required for viral replication in mosquitoes and neurovirulence in mice (Crabtree et al., 2005; Olphant et al., 2006). NS2A (22 kDa) is a hydrophobic integral membrane protein which has been found to be involved in RNA replication, whereas NS2B (14 kDa) is an important co-factor for NS3 protease (Huang et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2013). Among all the NS proteins, NS3 (69 kDa) is a well-characterized and multifunctional protein, which consists of a number of catalytic domains. NS3 is involved in nucleoside triphosphatase and helicase functions during viral RNA (vRNA) synthesis (Arias et al., 1993; Falgout et al., 1991; Li et al., 1999). NS4A (16 kDa) is a hydrophobic integral membrane protein, critical for the formation of replication vesicles, whereas NS4B (30 kDa) is a potent suppressor of beta-interferon (IFN-β) and gamma-interferon (IFN-γ) signaling (Miller et al., 2007; Munoz-Jordan et al., 2003; Munoz-Jordan et al., 2005). The most conserved and the largest protein, NS5 (105 kDa), plays a significant role in RNA synthesis and in the blockade of the IFN system (Kroschewski et al., 2008).

Infection with DENV starts when the virus is introduced into the host cells as a result of the vector taking a meal of blood. From various different studies, it has been suggested that cells of hematopoietic origin, e.g., monocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells, are the major target sites for DENV infection, replication and dissemination (Figure 1) (Jessie et al., 2004; Pham et al., 2012). The very early steps in dengue virus entry can be categorized into adsorption and penetration. Several experiments suggested that the most favorable temperature for attachment is between 40 °C and 37 °C; however, penetration takes place only at 37 °C (Hung et al., 1999). A number of attachment factors or receptors have been identified, which are responsible for virus entry. In the case of mosquito cells, heat shock protein 70 (Hsp 70), R80, R67 and a 45 kDa protein has shown to interact with DENV (Rodenhuis-Zybert et al., 2010; Yazi et al., 2002). Heparin sulfate Hsp 90, CD14, 78 kDa glucose-regulated protein – also known as binding immunoglobulin protein – (GRP78/BiP), a 37/67 kDa high-affinity laminin receptor on mammalian cells and C-type lectin receptors of human myeloid cells are all involved in the interaction with DENV particles (Rodenhuis-Zybert et al., 2010). Virus entry starts with a conformational change of E protein under low pH conditions (6.5), followed by clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Mercer et al., 2010). Several potent inhibitors such as monoclonal antibodies, heparin and heparin sulfate suppress the penetration process whereas carbohydrate moieties and highly sulfated heparin sulfate promote viral entry (Hung et al., 1999). At this stage the capsid protein releases the viral genome into the cell cytoplasm and subsequently translates it into a single polyprotein in association with ER-derived membranes. This polyprotein is processed further into structural and nonstructural proteins by the action of different cellular and virus-derived proteases (Clyde et al., 2006; Rodenhuis-Zybert et al., 2010; Jain et al., 2014). Once translation and proper folding of virion proteins are completed, NS proteins initiate the replication process using a negative strand intermediate as a template (Clyde et al., 2006). This template is further used for the production of multiple copies of positive-strand vRNA. Afterwards, this newly formed RNA is packaged by the C protein to form a nucleocapsid and, meanwhile, a heterodimer complex formed by prM and E proteins leaked into the ER lumen is thought to be involved in the virion budding process (Kuhn et al., 2002). The immature virus particles mature by using the secretory pathway, and the trans-Golgi network triggers dissociation of the prM/E heterodimers in an acidic environment. These mature virions are now ready to infect cells and are transported out of the cells through exocytosis.
Dengue epidemiology and pathogenesis (English et al., 2009; Rodenhuis-Zybert et al., 2010).

**EVOLUTION**

Dengue is a historically important disease, which has been known for centuries. Symptoms recorded in a Chinese medical encyclopedia in 992 AD are similar to those of dengue and this is probably the first recorded information relating to dengue (Gubler, 2006). Many documents report that the origin of DENV is Africa. Later, it must have been distributed to many parts of the world by the slave trade (Hirsch, 1883; Smith, 1956). The vector (*Ae. aegypti*) is also supposed to have originated in Africa (Monath, 1988). However, its origin has been debated and it is now thought to be either Africa or Asia (Wilder-Smith et al., 2008; Murray et al., 2013). Maintenance of all of the serotypes in enzootic forest cycles suggests that these viruses may have evolved by chance in Asia from a progenitor virus that was most likely from Africa (Gubler, 1997). Furthermore, it is believed that DENV may have originated from a forest cycle involving lower primates and canopy-dwelling mosquitoes in the Malay Peninsula (Smith, 1956; Sirisena et al., 2014). Later, the viruses were introduced to villages by humans or monkeys, who had been exposed to the dengue viruses in the forest, and were propagated there by peri-domestic mosquitoes (*Aedes* spp.). Finally, the villagers introduced them into urban areas (Gubler, 2004). There are a number of theories relating to the origins of the viruses but they are beyond the scope of this review.

It is possible that different DENV serotypes evolved in taxonomically related mosquito species in different geographical regions. Four DENV serotypes were documented some years ago in a forest cycle in Asia, while only one (DENV-2) was documented in Africa (Rudnick et al., 1986). It is currently thought that DENV probably had an Asian origin, which is supported by serological surveys conducted in rural communities of Malaysia in the early 1950s (Smith, 1956). In any event, by 1800, following increased use of shipping vessels during the expansion of trade routes, DENV was widespread throughout the urban tropical coastal cities of the world (Gubler, 2004; Murray et al., 2013). Transportation of breeding sites for the vector, together with humans, was provided by these ships, which completed the transmission cycle as well as giving a slow but evident introduction of both the virus and the mosquito to coastal destinations around the world (Gubler, 2004). Later on, the incidence of DENV

![Figure 1. Entry, dissemination and responses of dengue virus in humans.](image-url)
increased during World War II when troops started to move within and between countries (Wilder-Smith et al., 2008). By studying evolutionary molecular epidemiology, it was found that the four serotypes of the DENV were clearly organized in well-defined clades. The time to the most recent common ancestor of all of the dengue serotypes, and also the time of the divergence of the DENV-4 lineage, is thought to be 1672 years ago, i.e., in the 4th century AD (Costa et al., 2012). In the second half of the 20th century, dengue emerged as a major public health concern in many tropical and sub-tropical regions around the world.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Although the origin of DENV is disputed, most of the studies on epidemiology agree that the widespread geographic distribution was driven by sailing ships and the slave trade (Chen et al., 2011). *Ae. aegypti* is the predominant epidemic vector of DENV because of its high level of adaptability to the urban environment in tropical cities and its close association with humans. At the same time, *Ae. albopictus* is considered as a secondary vector because of its better adaptation to peridomestic settings with vegetation; and it prefers to feed on a variety of animals other than humans (Lambrechts et al., 2010; Wilder-Smith et al., 2010). One study suggests that increases in the number of mosquitoes and in mean temperature also facilitate dengue transmission (Halstead, 2008).

It is recognized that host cells become infected by the host being bitten by the vector. Although both human and non-human primates (NHP) serve as hosts of DENV, only humans exhibit clinical symptoms whereas most NHPs are asymptomatic. The primate reservoir hosts in Africa include the African green monkey (*Cynocebus sabaeus*), the Patas monkey (*Erythrocebus patas*) and the Guinea baboon (*Papio papio*) and closely related species such as the Chacma baboon (*Papio ursinus*), the olive baboon (*Papio anubis*) and the yellow baboon (*Papio cynocephalus*), whereas in Asia the primate reservoir hosts are Southern pig-tailed macaques (*Macaca nemestrina*), cynomolgus macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*) and silvered leaf monkeys (*Presbytis cristata*) and possibly green-menered leaf monkeys (*Presbytis melalophos*) (Chen et al., 2011). In America, there is no evidence of a sylvatic DENV transmission cycle, but several NHPs are susceptible to DENV infection including the black-headed spider monkey (*Ateles fusciceps*), Geoffroy’s spider monkey (*Ateles geoffroyi*), white-headed capuchin (*Cebus capucinus*), mantled howler (*Alouatta palliata*), marmoset (*Marikina geoffroyi*), three-striped night monkey (*Aotus trivirgatus*) and Central American squirrel monkey (*Saimiri orstedii*) (Rosen, 1958).

DENGUE AROUND THE WORLD

Dengue in Asia

Disruption of ecosystems, increased troop movement, and rapid urbanization after World War II have promoted the wide dissemination of the dengue vector and virus, especially in Asia. Use of water storage containers for domestic purposes, and the presence of discarded war equipment, have all served as ideal breeding habitats for *Ae. aegypti* (Halstead, 2006). By the end of the war, countries (Cambodia, Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam) susceptible to dengue epidemics became hyper-endemic for DENV (Halstead, 2006). Isolation of all the dengue serotypes in the 1940s and 1950s has left a certain amount of confusion regarding the exact time period during which DENV has been present in this area, because they were probably present earlier than this (Gubler, 1997; Sabin, 1952). DHF emerged in Manila (Philippines) in 1954 (Hammon et al., 1960); thereafter, it appeared in Thailand in 1958, while Cambodia, Malaysia, Singapore and Vietnam (Gubler, 2002) all reported the presence of DHF cases in the 1960s. In India, the first virologically proved epidemic occurred in Calcutta and on the Eastern Coast in 1963-1964. DHF began occurring in various parts of India in 1988 (Sarkar et al., 1964; Gupta et al., 2012). Pakistan reported cases of DHF in 1994 in Karachi (Chan et al., 1995). In Bangladesh, DF was documented from the mid-1960s to the mid-1990s, but an outbreak of DHF was reported in 2000 (Rahman et al., 2002). Epidemics in Bhutan (Dorji et al., 2009) and Nepal (Pandey et al., 2004) were reported only in 2004.

Countries to the north of a 30 degree line of latitude are considered to be at low risk for dengue because most of the countries in this zone have not yet reported any cases of dengue (WHO, 2012a). By the end of 2012, according to the WHO, the dengue-risk countries were Bangladesh, Bhutan, Brunei, Cambodia, Hong Kong (China), India, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Macau (China), Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Taiwan (China), Thailand, and Vietnam (WHO, 2012b). It is apparent that the WHO South-East Asia (SEA) Region, which consists of 11 countries (Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Indonesia, Maldives, Myanmar, Nepal, North Korea, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Timor-Leste), has become hyper-endemic, with regular reporting of dengue cases since the year 2000, except in North Korea. The greatest number of cases (355,525) and deaths (1,982) were recorded during 2010. Since then, a declining trend has been reported (Dash et al., 2012). Although not all the variables associated with the expansion of areas infected with dengue can be discerned, the major factors highlighted by many studies are population expansion and urbanization. Cummings *et al.* (2009) have suggested, using mathematical modelling, that the den-
Dengue virus spreads at an average speed of 148 kilometres per month in Bangkok and a similar rate of endemic zone expansion was anticipated elsewhere (Cummings et al., 2009).

There is no doubt that dengue is now a worldwide concern; however, about two-thirds of the global population exposed to dengue lives in the Asia-Pacific region (WHO, 2012a). There is a population of 1.3 billion who reside in 10 dengue-endemic countries in SEA, and dengue heads the list for hospitalization and death in children from this region (Dash et al., 2012). Epidemics remain and continue to maintain regular 3–5 year cycles throughout SEA, and the number of reported cases also continues to increase, together with the severity of cases in many member countries (Shepard et al., 2013). A total of 187,333 dengue cases were reported to WHO in 2010 from this region (WHO, 2012a; Shepard et al., 2013). The Communicable Disease Threats Report of March, 2014, a weekly bulletin of the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), reports that Malaysia and Singapore experienced a higher number of cases in 2014 compared to 2013. In February 2014, dengue activity was almost four times higher compared to the same time period of 2013. The recent trend of number of cases has been increasing in Lao PDR. However, in early 2014, the number of cases remains low in Lao PDR and is also lower when compared to 2013. The observed trend, in decreasing order, is: Cambodia, Philippines and Vietnam (ECDC, 2014).

It had been thought that Japan was a dengue risk-free country. Despite this, according to the national case-based surveillance system of Japan, the reported incidence of DENV was 200 in 2010, four times greater than in 2006. In fact, in these cases, the patients were individuals who had returned from endemic countries. However, one of the mosquito vectors for dengue, *Ae. albopictus*, inhabits Japan and a major outbreak in western Japan occurred between 1942 and 1945. Globalization has always posed a risk of possible outbreaks in Japan (Nakamura et al., 2012).

**Dengue in Australia**

In 1873, eight cases of dengue were imported by ship from Mauritius, and this was the first report of dengue in Australia. The first domestic outbreaks probably occurred in Queensland, at Townsville in 1879 and at Rockhampton in 1885 (Lumley et al., 1942). Several epidemics were described during the late 19th century and early 20th century. Besides the northern part of Australia, cases were reported from northern New South Wales and from Western Australia, but not from the more southern areas of the country. Cases from northern New South Wales were reported for the first time in 1898, but the epidemic did not extend to the southern part of this state until 1925-1926, when dengue cases were described from Newcastle. Eastern Australia (Brisbane) reported dengue in 1905, whereas Western Australia reported it in 1909-1910. No cases have been reported from Western Australia since the 1940s and the last epidemic activity in the Northern Territory occurred in Darwin in 1955 (McLean et al., 1959; Russell et al., 1984; Mackenzie et al., 1996). *Ae. aegypti* is the only dengue vector in Australia; it was possibly introduced in the early or mid-19th century, with the settlement of the tropics and subtropics (Mackenzie et al., 1996). It was widely distributed in the north-eastern coastal areas by the end of the 19th century. Later on, the population of this vector started declining from northern New South Wales and from the western part of Australia because of effective vector control. However, Cairns and Townsville in Queensland, and Darwin in the Northern Territory, are always at risk of a dengue outbreak. The conversion of urban water supplies from household rainwater tanks to a reticulated supply, the change from steam to diesel locomotives, the use of domestic insecticides, the advent of the motor mower, and greater awareness by local health officers, together with public education, have all facilitated a reduction of the *Ae. aegypti* population throughout Australia (Mackenzie et al., 1996; Ritchie et al., 2013).

After a quarter of a century, Dengue re-appeared in northern Queensland in 1981-1982. DENV-3 was responsible for a previous epidemic in northern Queensland and the Northern Territory in 1955 (Doherty et al., 1967), whereas the later epidemic was the result of DENV-1 (Guard et al., 1984; Kay et al., 1984). Cairns, Thursday Island and Townsville were all affected. Following this, DENV-1 and DENV-2 cases were reported from 1990 to 1993 (Mackenzie et al., 1996). In the most recent Queensland epidemic of 1993, 238 serologically positive cases of DF and one case of DHF were identified. A DENV-2-mediated outbreak with 900 serologically confirmed cases and an additional 950 cases inferred on clinical grounds was recorded in Townsville (population 120,000) and in Charters Towers (population 10,000) (Phillips et al., 1992; Row et al., 1993). A serological study of 1,000 randomly selected residents of Charters Towers suggests that 20% of the population of this one town were infected with DENV-2 during this outbreak (McBride et al., 1998). Illness because of dengue is most common among travelers who are returning to Australia. In recent years, there were several large and explosive outbreaks in northern Queensland in areas where the dengue vector is present. These events are increasing the degree of concern regarding the risk of local transmission from imported cases. The number and proportion of dengue cases that are acquired overseas are increasing.
These figures in 2010 and 2011 had increased by 298% and 155%, respectively, compared to the earlier five year mean figure (Knope et al., 2013). In 2012, these cases acquired overseas were likely to be the highest on record, with an average of 144 cases per month during January to July (Knope et al., 2013). Data for 14 years (1999-2012) showed that more than half of all cases with a known country of acquisition were acquired in Indonesia (Knope et al., 2013). In Western Australia in 2010 and 2011, more than 80% of the cases acquired in Indonesia were acquired in Bali and the trend continued into 2012 (Australia Department of Health Western, 2012). A comparison between 2000 and 2011 suggests that travelers returning from Indonesia have an 8.3% risk of catching dengue compared to all other destinations (Knope et al., 2013). According to the ECDC, Australia experienced a higher number of dengue cases in 2014 than in 2013 (ECDC, 2014).

Dengue in Africa

Africa has its own history of dengue epidemics. Since the early years of the 19th century, many countries, including Egypt (1887, 1927), Burkina Faso (1925), Senegal (1927-1928), South Africa (1926-1927) and Zanzibar (1823, 1870), reported outbreaks of dengue. These cases were confirmed using neutralizing antibody (Ab) testing in the mid-1950s (Gubler et al., 1995; Amarasinghe et al., 2011). Over the last 50 years (1960 to 2010), outbreaks were reported in 15 African countries, with most occurring in East Africa. Nearly 300,000 cases were reported in five large epidemics in Cape Verde (2009), Comoros (1992–1993), Djibouti (1992–1993), Reunion Island (1977–1978) and the Seychelles (1977–1979) (Cornet, 1993; Amarasinghe et al., 2011). Five countries of Northern Africa: (Algeria, Libya, Morocco, Tunisia and Western Sahara), from which the vectors are not yet clearly identified, are considered to be at low risk for dengue (Were, 2012).

DENV was first isolated in Africa, in Nigeria in the 1960s (Carey et al., 1971). All four DENV serotypes were isolated, with DENV-2 reported to cause the most epidemics (Were, 2012). These serotypes were maintained by enzootic cycles between NHP and arboreal mosquitoes (Vasilakis et al., 2007; Guzman et al., 2010). There are other species of Aedes in Africa, which also act as potential vectors, and they are Ae. albopictus, Ae. africanus and Ae. luteocephalus (Were, 2012). One study reported that dengue-infected travelers came more frequently from South-East Asia and South America than from Africa to non-endemic regions of Europe and America (Freedman et al., 2006). Although the enzootic forms of DENV may be less infective in Africa, there is still a potential for endemic forms of the virus to emerge from sylvatic cycles between mosquitoes and NHP (Vasilakis et al., 2007). ECDC’s weekly bulletin of 10 March 2014 reported that 26 cases of dengue fever had been detected on Mayotte Island, of which eight were acquired locally and the others had been imported. The occurrence of locally acquired cases with no epidemiological link suggests that there is now active circulation in this area (ECDC, 2014).

Dengue in Europe

Europe is a place relatively safe from dengue, compared to other regions of the world. According to the ECDC, Europe has not experienced a sustained transmission of dengue fever since the 1920s, with the exception of a large outbreak in 2012 (Normile, 2013). This outbreak occurred on the Madeira Islands (Portugal) from October 2012 to March 2013, and resulted in over 2100 cases of dengue fever. In addition, 78 more cases were introduced into 13 other European countries by travelers returning from Madeira. The responsible strain was a DENV-1 serotype (Alves et al., 2013). France and Croatia reported their first cases in 2010. These outbreaks and sporadic occurrences in Europe are to be expected, following the return of infected travelers from an area of infection. Six cases were reported in the United Kingdom, two each in France and Germany, and one in Sweden, all of which originated from Madeira. In addition, eight cases were diagnosed after returning to mainland Portugal.

In concert with climatic and temperature changes in Europe, the occurrence of Ae. aegypti has also increased. Researchers at the Epidemiology and Global Health unit at Umea University, Sweden, claim that there is the potential for future outbreaks because of increasingly favorable living and breeding conditions for the mosquito in Europe. The mosquito vector Ae. albopictus has already established itself in Europe. Although Ae. albopictus is not as competent a vector as Ae. aegypti, several indigenous cases of the disease have been observed in countries such as France and Croatia. Ae. albopictus was reported initially from Albania in 1979 and then from Italy in 1990. After 2005, it spread into other European countries: Belgium, Bulgaria, Germany, Netherlands, Russia, and Serbia, and also Turkey (Medlock et al., 2012). The last outbreak in Madeira has proved that it is not just a theoretical possibility for the disease to appear in Europe. Climate change, including extreme weather with large daily temperature fluctuations, globalization, and immigration into Europe might all be factors driving a relative increase in dengue fever cases. In 2014, there were no autochthonous cases detected (ECDC, 2014).

Dengue in North America

Dengue is an emerging infectious disease in North America. Guam, Puerto Rico, Samoa and the US Virgin Islands are known as endemic sites for this virus. In
Puerto Rico, DENV-mediated outbreaks have been reported since 1915 (King, 1917), including an island-wide epidemic in the late 1960s (Tomashek et al., 2009). The first isolation of the virus in Puerto Rico was during a large outbreak in 1963–1964 (only DV-3 was isolated), whereas the first case of DHF was documented in 1975, although multiple cases of DHF did not occur until 1986 (Neff et al., 1967; Tomashek et al., 2009). During the 1960s and early 1970s, an *Ae. aegypti* eradication campaign began in the Americas, with a view to interrupting DENV transmission. However, efforts aimed at vector surveillance and control measures were not sustained and subsequent re-infestations of mosquitoes were documented, followed by dengue outbreaks in the Caribbean, and in Central and South America (Nathan et al., 2009). The Caribbean, Mexico and Central America are dengue epidemic areas. The basis of locally acquired dengue disease in the USA is travel. Almost all dengue cases reported in the 48 contiguous states of the USA suggested the involvement of travelers or immigrants (Beatty et al., 2005). Locally acquired outbreaks have been described in Hawaii, St. Croix (US Virgin Islands), along the Texas–Mexico border (Mohammed et al., 2010), and in the western part of Florida (CDC, 2010). Both *Ae. aegypti* (predominant) and the *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes are competent vectors and exist within the south-eastern USA (CDC, 2007; Guzman et al., 2010). *Ae. aegypti* is abundant all year round in Puerto Rico, and in most of the Caribbean basin. A seroepidemiologic study of a unit of US soldiers who had returned from Somalia suggested that 7.7% of them were infected with dengue (Sharp et al., 1995).

**Dengue in South America**

Dengue virus transmission has been reported in most of the countries of this continent. Argentina, Barbados, Brazil, Colombia, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, French Guiana, Peru, Puerto Rico and Venezuela are countries which are highlighted as being endemic for dengue (all four serotypes) (PAHO, 2008; San Martín et al., 2010). Uruguay and continental Chile are the only countries without indigenous transmission in Latin America (San Martín et al., 2010). Evidence of partial interruption of dengue epidemiology could be found during the 1960s and early 1970s. This was because of an *Ae. aegypti* mosquito eradication campaign designed to prevent yellow fever (Gubler, 1989). However, poor vector surveillance and control measures revealed mosquito re-infestations, and thus introduced DENV-2 in these regions. In the late 1970s and early 1980s, DENV-1 and DENV-4 were introduced into some Latin American and Caribbean countries, causing devastating epidemics (Isturiz et al., 2000). Since then, the region has reported the highest incidence of cases worldwide (68% of all cases worldwide from 2000 to 2006), with periodic outbreaks every three to five years. In Cuba in 1981, the first large epidemic of DHF in the region occurred, which included 24,000 cases of DHF, 10,000 cases of DSS and 158 deaths reported during a three month period (Guzman et al., 1984; Gubler, 1989; Isturiz et al., 2000). Massive dengue outbreaks were reported in Brazil in 1986 and 1987 (Fiqueiredo et al., 1990). In 1990, nearly one-quarter of the 300,000 inhabitants of Iquitos, Peru, were reported to have DF, while in Venezuela there were 3,108 cases of DHF, with 78 deaths reported in the same year. The largest epidemic occurred in 2002, with more than one million reported cases (San Martín et al., 2010; Shepard et al., 2011; Cafferata et al., 2013). The average incidence rate of dengue cases reported in these countries from 2000 to 2007 was 71.5 per 100,000 people annually, and this increased in relation to the period from 1990 to 1999. The average incidence rate of DHF was 1.7 per 100,000 from 2000 to 2007, with 1391 deaths occurring in this period (San Martín et al., 2010). The Southern Cone countries (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay) had the majority of cases of dengue in the last decade. From 2001 to 2007, 64.6% (2,798,601) of all dengue cases in the Americas were reported from this region, of which 6,733 were DHF with a total of 500 deaths. Brazil had the highest number of cases and comprised 98.5% of the Southern Cone reports. The first three serotypes (DENV-1, DENV-2 and DENV-3) were found to be responsible for dengue in this region. A slight decreasing trend (65% decrease) was recorded up until March 2014 (1,262 cases) in Costa Rica, compared to the same period of 2013. However in other countries, such as Brazil and Honduras, dengue activity has increased.

**PATHOGENESIS**

The majority of dengue infections may go unrecognized as they are asymptomatic. Clinical manifestations can range from a mild flu-like syndrome (DF) to the most serious manifestations, such as DHF and DSS (Martina et al., 2009). DF is self-limiting and has an incubation period of 3–15 days. Clinical manifestations of DF are characterized by arthralgia, bone pain, headache, muscle pain, leucopenia, myalgia, retro-orbital pain and skin rash (Guha-Sapir et al., 2005; Zompi et al., 2012). DF is commonly known as ‘seven-day fever’ because of its persistence for a week, and it is also called ‘break bone fever’ (Bäck et al., 2013). Secondary infection with a heterotypic serotype triggers antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE), and this results in DHF/DSS with high mortality (Guzman et al., 2000). DHF is the hemorrhagic state of dengue disease and the manifestations include hemorrhagic tendencies, plasma leakages and thrombocytopenia, in addition to the already existing
Response to DENV in humans

Pathophysiological responses to DENV infection include increased vascular permeability, microvascular bleeding, plasma leakage and reduced functioning of the coagulation cascade (John et al., 2013). In order to establish the infection, three organ systems play a crucial role: the immune system, the liver and the endothelial cell lineage of blood cells (Martina et al., 2009). Epidermal dendritic cells (DCs) and keratinocytes are the primary infection sites, from where the virus later migrates to lymph nodes (Suharti et al., 2002; Limon-Flores et al., 2005). Later, the lymphatic system amplifies and disseminates the infection, and subsequently monocytes and macrophages become infected (Figure 1) (Martina et al., 2009). Several studies suggest that bone marrow, brain, kidney, liver, lungs, lymph nodes, skin, spleen and thymus also contribute to DENV infection persistence (Kangwanpong et al., 1995; Miagostovich et al., 1997; Ramos et al., 1998; Guzman et al., 1999; Jessie et al., 2004; Basilio-de-Oliveira et al., 2005; Gasperino et al., 2007; Limonta et al., 2007; Martina et al., 2009). Upon detection of an antigenic epitope, immune cells, especially the CD8+ cross-reactive T cells, are triggered to produce a broad array of antiviral and pro-inflammatory cytokines. As a consequence, these activated cells express increased levels of interleukins (IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-13, IL-18, TGF-1β, TNF-α and IFN-γ) and this ultimately produces a “cytokine storm” (Martina et al., 2009; Rothman, 2011; John et al., 2013). Interestingly, despite all of these inflammatory cytokines, a lesser level of IL-10 was found to be expressed. This phenomenon may be an indication of a lack of immune regulation over T-cell-mediated excessive immune response in humans, but the overall mechanism is yet to be elucidated (Martina et al., 2009). Antibody responses to DENV infection are complex. So far, it is apparent that primary infection with one serotype provides a lifelong Ab-dependent immunity against that specific serotype but only transient immunity to other serotypes (Rothman, 2004). Secondary infection with a heterologous serotype will cause Ab-dependent enhancement of infection, which often causes an increased risk of DHF. Infants who are passively immunized by maternal antibodies from a dengue pre-immune mother are at high risk of severe dengue infection (Beltrameillo et al., 2010; Wahala et al., 2011). The complement system, one of the major components of the immune response, has also been shown to play an important role in dengue pathogenesis. Plasma leakage is evident from the presence of high levels of the activation products of complement C3a and C5a (Churdboonchart et al., 1983; Shaio et al., 1992). Results from different research studies indicate that NS1 expressed in infected cells can directly trigger complement activation (Kurosu et al., 2007). The activated C5b-C9 complex may stimulate the cellular response and production of inflammatory cytokines, and in addition this complex could autonomously trigger other local and systemic effects (Avirutnan et al., 2006; Markiewski et al., 2007).

Response to DENV in the mouse model

In order to understand dengue pathogenesis, the development of a suitable animal model is required. The search for animal models began in the 20th century, when the mouse was thought to be the most appropriate model to study pathogenesis (Bente et al., 2006). As immunocompetent mice do not show any clinical symptoms of dengue infection, the development of a suitable mouse model is still not without problems (Yauc et al., 2008). The immunocompromised mouse model AG129 was the first reported experimental model, which has a deficiency in IFN-α/β and IFN-γ receptor genes (Johnson et al., 1999). Infection with mouse-adapted virus serotypes in this model results first in peripheral replication, and then in vascular leakage, hind-leg paralysis and blindness on day 7, and ultimately in death on day 12 (Johnson et al., 1999; Bente et al., 2006; Zompi et al., 2012). To visualize the pathophysiological events in human cells, another recent approach has been to develop humanized mouse models; however, these still cannot mimic completely the human immune response (Zompi et al., 2012; Akkina, 2013). Due to a deficiency in both B and T cells, severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice exhibit a lack of both humoral and cellular responses and these mice may also sustain xeno-grafts (Mosier, 2000; Zompi et al., 2012). Peripheral blood lymphocyte (PBL)-SCID mice did not show any clinical signs when they were infected with DENV-1, whereas non-obese diabetic (NOD)-SCID mice, reconstituted with human CD34+ hematopoietic cells, developed clinical signs of DF as seen in humans (Bente et al., 2005; Bente et al., 2006). However, most of these mouse models developed neurotropic disease such as paralysis, which is not common in human patients (Schlesinger, 1977; Raut et al., 1996). Immunocompetent mice are generally tolerant to dengue virus
infection and replication, but do not show overt signs of the disease. The replication of viruses in mouse tissues is at such low titers that they are almost undetectable. To overcome this problem, researchers have to use the virus at a very high dose, use mouse-adapted dengue virus strains, or use an intracranial route of inoculation (Bente et al., 2006).

Response to DENV in non-human primate (NHP) models

Although NHPs are the key hosts of DENV in a sylvatic cycle, they do not usually develop any DENV-associated clinical symptoms (Marchette et al., 1973; Wang et al., 2000). Some studies have suggested that subcutaneous DENV infection is associated with viremia and an Ab–mediated immune response in some NHPs such as chimpanzees and monkeys (Onlamoon et al., 2010). Infection with a high dose of DENV via the intravenous route in rhesus macaques results in hemorrhagic manifestations, e.g. leukopenia (after primary infection) and increased thrombocytopenia (after secondary, heterologous infection), as seen in humans (Halstead et al., 1973a). In some NHPs a cross-reactive sensitivity against the primary infecting serotype is also observed, as in humans (Kochel et al., 2005; Koraka et al., 2007). Although the results of the use of NHPs in pathogenesis studies is limited, researchers are using these NHP models to investigate ADE (Halstead et al., 1973a; Goncalvez et al., 2007) and to develop drugs and vaccines, as well as for testing drug and vaccine safety and efficacy (Guirakhoo et al., 2002; Blaney et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2007).

DENV-MOSQUITO INTERACTIONS

*Ae. aegypti* is the widespread mosquito vector of dengue virus; hence, it is also important to investigate DENV-mosquito interactions. A detailed understanding of this relationship is critically important to inform a strong vector control strategy. For efficient virus transmission, the size and biological status, density and basic reproduction number of mosquito vectors plays a significant role (Halstead, 2008). Temperature and rainfall both show a positive correlation with vector abundance. Once the virus is disseminated to the salivary glands, the probability of virus transmission depends on the gonotrophic cycle. Temperature has an effect on the length of the gonotrophic cycle and warm temperatures shorten the extrinsic incubation period (EIP) (Yasuno et al., 1970; Pant et al., 1973; Halstead, 2008). Rainfall is also found to have a positive effect on vector abundance (Moore et al., 1978). The dissemination of DENV into the salivary glands is influenced by viral titer and the size of the blood meal taken by the mosquito vector (Gubler, 1976; Rosen et al., 1985). Like other insects, mosquitoes also have an elaborate innate immune system, which can confer protective immunity against a variety of pathogens (Dimopoulos, 2003; Ip, 2005). A recent study shows that the JAK-STAT signalling pathway makes a major contribution to the mosquito’s anti-dengue defense (Souza-Neto et al., 2009). Suppression of the JAK-STAT pathway through RNAi depletion of its receptor Domeless (Dome) and the Janus kinase (Hop) increases susceptibility to dengue infection whereas the silenced protein inhibitor of activated STAT (PIAS)-mediated negative regulation provides resistance to infection (Souza-Neto et al., 2009).

VECTOR CONTROL STRATEGIES

Although dengue was initially considered to be a neglected tropical disease, it has recently been prioritized by the WHO’s *Global Strategy for Dengue Prevention and Control, 2012–2020* (WHO, 2012a). The WHO is recommending a policy of Integrated Vector Management (IVM) as a further preventive approach to dengue vector control (WHO, 2012c). IVM is defined as “a rational decision-making process for the optimal use of resources for vector control”. This is endorsed by the WHO as a prudent, cost-effective and optimal process for vector control programs (WHO, 2012a). The crucial features of IVM are assistance, social mobilization and legislation, collaboration within the health sector and with other sectors, integrated intervention methods development, evidence-based decision making and capacity building (WHO, 2012c). The basic elements of dengue control under IVM are to develop and deliver different intervention (or combinations of interventions) approaches based on local facilities to increase country-specific vector control capacity in all geographical areas and to implement monitoring and evaluation tools. Sustainable vector control is one technical element of the *Global Strategy for Dengue Prevention and Control, 2012–2020*. Lack of appropriate therapeutic agents and vaccines, in addition to effective vector control approaches, need to be addressed in order to reduce dengue mortality and morbidity.

New vector control tools aimed at *Ae. aegypti* population suppression and replacement are currently under investigation. The ‘Release of Insects with a Dominant Lethalality’ (RIDL) system integrates a novel genetic sexing system for mass rearing of male mosquitoes (Gubler, 1997). In this technology, a lethal gene is incorporated into the eggs of *Ae. aegypti* through microinjection. Afterwards, the gene is integrated into the mosquito genome. The gene produces toxic compounds in the larval stage that kill the larva. Tetracycline is used in rearing
the larvae, as well as the mosquito, in the laboratory. This antibiotic inhibits the function of the lethal gene and consequently no toxic compound is produced. This allows the larvae to develop fully into adults. RIDL males are released into the environment to permit them to mate with wild females. Fertilized females will produce eggs that hatch into RIDL gene-carrying larvae. All the RIDL gene-carrying larvae will die at late larval or early pupal stage. The Malaysia Institute for Medical Research (IMR) has conducted this project in three phases: (1) establishment of the transgenic Malaysian strain of *Ae. aegypti*, (2) simulation release trial inside a field house, and (3) field release in a suitable experimental field site. Currently, IMR is implementing the third phase.

In order to develop a novel vector control strategy, the University of Queensland (Australia) is now leading a project based on the discovery of a naturally occurring intracellular endosymbiotic bacterium, *Wolbachia*. This study is dedicated to developing a new biological approach for the control of dengue. The strategy is to introduce *Wolbachia* strains into *Ae. aegypti*. Different strains of *Wolbachia* can interfere with the mosquito life cycle by direct blocking of virus transmission, as well as by reducing the expected lifespan. As only aging mosquitoes transmit dengue viruses, this reduction in lifespan of the vector is predicted to reduce dengue transmission. *Wolbachia* has the ability to spread actively into insect populations without causing any overt infection. It is transmitted between generations via mosquito eggs and invades mosquito populations by inhibiting the reproduction of females that do not carry *Wolbachia* when mated by Wolbachia infected males, through a phenomenon known as cytoplasmic incompatibility (Gubler et al., 1995).

**CURRENT PROGRESS IN VACCINE DEVELOPMENT**

About 100 million people all around the world are infected by DENV annually, which makes it an intensifying global public health concern. However, there are still no specific anti-viral therapies or vaccines against DENV commercially available (WHO, 2012a; Wei et al., 2014). Although disease preventive strategies currently prioritize vector control management, considerable efforts are still in progress towards the development of an effective vaccine (Whitehorn et al., 2010). The first documented initiative to develop a dengue vaccine was over 80 years ago (Simmons et al., 1931). Although these particular approaches did not succeed, Hotta, Sabin, Schlesinger and Wiseman later demonstrated the development of a live attenuated dengue vaccine against the DENV-1 serotype by serial passage in suckling mouse brain (Sabin et al., 1945; Schlesinger et al., 1956; Hotta, 1957; Wisseman et al., 1963). However, these experimental vaccines failed to gain approval for commercial production because of the requirement for time-intensive processes such as 16 successive passages and also failure of the virus to trigger pathogenesis in cotton rats, hamsters, guinea-pigs and rabbits (Guabiraba et al., 2014). Sanofi Pasteur has developed a live attenuated DENV chimeric vaccine, which has recently completed phase III evaluation successfully in Latin America (Sanofi Pasteur, 3rd September, 2014). Nevertheless, there are multiple challenges that hinder the production of an efficacious dengue vaccine. One of the significant challenges is to develop an effective vaccine which shows efficacy against all available DENV serotypes. Another major problem is the lack of a suitable animal model in which candidate vaccines can undergo preclinical evaluation. The potentially detrimental role of immune enhancement in dengue pathogenesis is also a concern for vaccine design. Other challenges for vaccine development are incomplete cross-protection, viral interference, and no validated correlates of immunity (protective or pathological) (Whitehorn et al., 2010; Schmitz et al., 2011). At the present time, several candidate vaccines are in either preclinical development or clinical evaluation, and these offer hope of a successful treatment in future.

Multiple modern technical approaches are being applied to develop new-generation dengue vaccines, which include: recombinant subunit vaccines, DNA vaccines, virus-vectored vaccines in combination with traditional approaches such as a live attenuated vaccine (LAV), and an inactivated vaccine. The dengue vaccine that mimics most closely natural infection is LAV, which can induce long-lasting humoral and cellular immune responses. Important parameters of LAVs include sufficient attenuation, low viremia, low reactogenicity and high immunogenicity (Wildy-Smith et al., 2010). Attenuated DENV vaccine candidates for each serotype were developed by independent passaging in tissue culture cells by investigators at Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand and the Walter Reed Army Research Institute team in the USA (Bhamarapravati et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2003). The Mahidol research group also produced tetravalent vaccines which were used in clinical trial phases I and II in Thai adults and children, but a further trial was stopped because of adverse reactogenicity (Sachchareon et al., 2002; Sachchareon et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2006). Site-directed mutagenesis is a more cutting-edge technique used to attenuate the viral genome. A deletion of 30 nucleotides (Δ30) in the 3′-untranslated region of DENV-4 was the first demonstration of attenuation, denoted DENV-4Δ30 (Men et al., 1996), and this subsequently entered phase I clinical trials (McArthur et al., 2008). This attenuation strategy has met with some success for DENV-1 and DENV-4, but was less effective with DENV-2 and DENV-3 (Men et al., 1996; Whitehead et al., 2003;
Blaney et al., 2004; Blaney et al., 2004). A large-scale phase III study of a chimeric tetravalent vaccine produced by Sanofi Pasteur demonstrated that it successfully met the primary endpoint, with an overall vaccine efficacy of 60.8% effectiveness against all four serotypes. It also stated that, “initial safety data are consistent with the favorable safety profile documented in all previous studies of phase I, II and III” (Sanofi Pasteur, 3rd September, 2014). Various reports of pre-clinical studies stated that the tetravalent vaccine is genetically and phenotypically stable (Barrett et al., 2007; Barban et al., 2007), less neurovirulent than YFV 17D (Vlaycheva et al., 2002), and is also immunogenic in monkeys (Guirakhoo et al., 2004). Inactivated virus vaccines have two major advantages over other types of vaccine. First, there is no possibility of inactivated vaccines reverting to their virulent or pathogenic phenotype, and so they are very safe. Second, it is easy to induce balanced immunogenicity (Whitehead et al., 2007). In mice and rhesus monkeys, an inactivated DENV-2 vaccine has been reported to be immunogenic and protective (Putnak et al., 1996). Researchers at the Pedro Kouri Tropical Medicine Institute (Cuba) have developed several recombinant subunit vaccine candidates in collaboration with the Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, also in Cuba. EDIII-p64k fusion proteins and EDIII-capsid fusion proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli and evaluated in NHPs (Bernardo et al., 2008; Valdes et al., 2009a; Valdes et al., 2009b; Valdes et al., 2011).

To date, a number of other subunit candidate vaccines have been evaluated in mice (Ettemad et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009; Leng et al., 2009; Leng et al., 2010). The approach of DNA vaccine development consists of a plasmid or plasmids containing dengue genes. A tetravalent DNA vaccine inoculated in mice and monkeys elicited elevated neutralization antibodies successfully. A tetravalent DNA vaccine candidate developed by Inovio Pharmaceuticals consisted of a DNA plasmid vector that expressed a single ORF comprising the EDIII domains of all four DENV serotypes, separated by proteolytic cleavage sites (Ramanathan et al., 2009). Kobe University has developed another DNA vaccine candidate which is composed of a mixture of four plasmid vectors, each of which expresses the prM and E proteins of one dengue serotype (Imoto et al., 2007). The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has also developed a similar DNA vaccine candidate based on prM/E expression (Chang et al., 2003; Purdy et al., 2005). A virus-vectored vaccine is another important and convenient approach to the development of a dengue vaccine. In this regime, various different recombinant live virus vectors, such as adenovirus, alphavirus and vaccinia virus, are used and which express dengue E protein for further evaluation as dengue vaccine candidates. The International Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (India) has developed a virus-vectored dengue vaccine candidate based on the expression of a tetravalent chimeric EDIII fusion protein from a replication-deficient adenovirus vaccine vector (Khanam et al., 2009). Another virus-vectored vaccine approach developed by GenPhar in collaboration with the Naval Medical Research Center (USA) is predicated on expression of DENV prM/E from a replication-deficient complex adenovirus vaccine vector that is capable of accommodating multiple large antigen inserts (Raviprakash et al., 2008). Several other virus-vectored vaccine candidates have been evaluated in both mice and NHPs (White, et al., 2007; Suzuki et al., 2009; Brandler et al., 2010; Halstead et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2013). A further recent approach is to develop a transmission-blocking vaccine that interrupts the DENV life cycle. In malaria, another mosquito-borne disease, Pf48/45 shows sufficient potential immunogenicity to be considered for a future vector-based transmission-blocking malaria vaccine (Miura, 2007; Chowdhury et al., 2009). By analogy, for DENV it has been shown that mosGCTL-3 expressed in mosquito tissues has a significant interaction with the DENV-2 surface envelope (E) protein and virions, both in vitro and in vivo. Thus, most GCTLs are considered to be a feasible target for transmission-blocking vaccine development against DENV (Liu et al., 2014). Although no dengue vaccine is available in the marketplace at present, the increasing knowledge of DENV molecular virology, of the pathogenesis of infection, and of host immune mechanisms, are offering valuable insights that should underpin improved vaccine design.

FUTURE IMAGES IN DENGUE RESEARCH

There is considerable evidence to indicate that the global incidence of dengue will be greater in the years to come (Astrom et al., 2012; Murray et al., 2013). Prevention, cure and vector control are the major strategies to combat dengue. At present, there is a poorly developed curing strategy. Vaccines are still under trial and to date there are no effective antiviral drugs. Therefore, an increased focus should be on prevention and vector control policies. However, there exist various challenges to the many awareness programs launched in the field regarding vector control and disease prevention. Rapid urbanization, a lack of basic sanitation, and increased intra- and inter-migratory activities have all compounded the dengue problem in most endemic countries. It is also worth considering the potential factors that drive dengue activity, such as viral evolutionary change (through virus genotype switching), climate diversity, industrialization, urbanization and the trade cycle. Another approach is to develop anti-viral therapeutics, but there are problems with this also. The DENV immunopathogenesis mecha-
nism is still not understood fully, because of a lack of appropriate animal models that can mimic satisfactorily the entirety of immunopathogenic manifestations in humans. Although IFN-α/β receptor deficient mice show some potential, they are not yet accepted as an optimal mouse model because of a number of limitations that have been discussed herein. Extensive searches have been carried out for animal models that offer greater validity, and a recent proposal is that the use of immunocompetent animal models may be a possibility (John et al., 2013). A recent study in mice described the anti-DENV therapeutic potential of compound A (CpdA), a dissociated glucocorticoids receptor which has anti-inflammatory effects; this can suppress increased cytokine expression, as well as DENV production (Suttitheptumronga et al., 2013). As immunocompetent animals do not show DENV-mediated clinical symptoms and demonstrate anti-dengue immunity successfully, an immunopathogenesis study of immunocompetent animals may therefore help to shed light on the mechanism anti-dengue protection in this experimental system. Although immunocompetent animals are not considered the best model for the study of pathogenesis, it is not possible at this point to reject as irrelevant to the human condition. The judicious use of selective knock-out mouse models may start to reveal the complex interplay between different immune cells and cytokines that contributes to anti-dengue immunity. Dengue research has made exciting progress over the last few decades, yet there is still a long way to go. A sound understanding of the problem, coupled with rigorous hypothesis development and painstaking application of scientific method, holds the key to future success to alleviate the burden of this growing threat to global public health.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

ADE: antibody-dependent enhancement; BiP: binding immunoglobulin protein; C protein: capsid protein; CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CD14: cluster of differentiation; DENV: dengue virus; DF: dengue fever; DHF: dengue hemorrhagic fever; DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid; DSS: dengue shock syndrome; E Protein: envelope protein; ECDC: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; EIP: extrinsic incubation period; ER: endoplasmic reticulum; GRP78: 78 kDa glucose regulated protein; Hsp: heat shock protein; IFN: interferon; IL: interleukin; IMR: Institute for Medical Research; IVM: integrated vector management; LAV: live attenuated vaccine; M Protein: membrane protein; NOD: non-obese diabetic; NHP non-human primates; NS: non-structural; PBL: peripheral blood lymphocyte; prM: precursor protein; TGF: transforming growth factor; TNF: tumor necrosis factor; RIDL: release of insects with dominant lethality; SCID: severe combined immunodeficient; SEA: South-East Asia; vRNA: viral RNA; WHO: World Health Organization.
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