Research Article



Comparison of Immune Responses against FMD by a DNA Vaccine Encoding the FMDV/O/IRN/2007 VP1 Gene and the Conventional Inactivated Vaccine in an Animal Model

Farahnaz Motamedi Sedeh¹^[2], Hoorieh Soleimanjahi², AmirReza Jalilian¹ and Homayoon Mahravani³

1.Agricultural, Medical and Industrial Research School, Karaj 31485498, Iran;

2. Virology Department, Faculty of Medical Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran 14115331, Iran;

3. Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Karaj 31975148, Iran

Foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) is highly contagious and responsible for huge outbreaks among cloven hoofed animals. The aim of the present study is to evaluate a plasmid DNA immunization system that expresses the FMDV/O/IRN/2007 VP1 gene and compare it with the conventional inactivated vaccine in an animal model. The VP1 gene was sub-cloned into the unique Kpn I and BamH I cloning sites of the pcDNA3.1+ and pEGFP-N1 vectors to construct the VP₁ gene cassettes. The transfected BHKT7 cells with sub-cloned pEGFP-N1-VP1 vector expressed GFP-VP1 fusion protein and displayed more green fluorescence spots than the transfected BHKT7 cells with pEGFP-N1 vector, which solely expressed the GFP protein. Six mice groups were respectively immunized by the sub-cloned pcDNA3.1⁺-VP1 gene cassette as the DNA vaccine, DNA vaccine and PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vector (as molecular adjuvant) together, conventional vaccine, PBS (as negative control), pcDNA3.1⁺ vector (as control group) and PCMV-SPORT vector that contained the GMCSF gene (as control group). Significant neutralizing antibody responses were induced in the mice which were immunized using plasmid vectors expressing the VP1 and GMCSF genes together, the DNA vaccine alone and the conventional inactivated vaccine (P<0.05). Co-administration of DNA vaccine and GMCSF gene improved neutralizing antibody response in comparison with administration of the DNA vaccine alone, but this response was the most for the conventional vaccine group. However, induction of humeral immunity response in the conventional vaccine group was more protective than for the DNA vaccine, but T-cell proliferation and IFN-y concentration were the most in DNA vaccine with the GMCSF gene. Therefore the group that was vaccinated by DNA vaccine with the GMCSF gene, showed protective neutralizing antibody response and the most Th1 cellular immunity.

DNA vaccine; Foot-and-mouth disease virus; Immune Response; VP1 gene

F oot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) is a highly infective agent of the *Picornaviridae* family, affecting all clovenhoofed animals. FMDV contains a single-stranded, positive sense RNA encoding a single, long open- reading frame protein. Outbreaks of foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) in various countries in recent years have had severe economic impact on the agricultural industry worldwide^[10].

In recent years, one of the most important developments in recombinant vaccines is the DNA vaccine, allowing a safe and efficient alternative to conventional vaccination. DNA vaccine

Corresponding author. Phone: +98-2634-464045, Fax: +98-2634-464061, technology facilitates the use of cytokines as modulators in vaccination to improve immune responses^[14]. In FMD, the virus carrier state is always accompanied by FMD virus antibodies in serum and esophageal-pharyngeal fluid. Vaccinated animals with inactivated vaccine also become virus carriers after FMDV infection, to the same extent as unvaccinated animals^[21]. Even in non-persistently infected cattle, viral RNA exists in the soft palate and pharynx over the normal time of virus clearance^[25]. These findings indicate that the immune response induced by viral infection or traditional vaccination is not efficient for complete clearance of virus. Recent studies showed that some DNA vaccines could elicit complete protection against the challenge of FMDV^[7,22,23], which provides us with another choice that is distinct from the

Received: 2012-04-28, Accepted: 2012-07-16

Email: fmotamedi@nrcam.org

traditional inactivated vaccine^[24]. The aim of this study is the evaluation of a DNA immunization system using the pcDNA3.1+ plasmid containing the FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 VP1 gene in mice and comparison with the conventional inactivated vaccine. FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 causes severe symptoms in Iranian cattle and the goal of this research was to seek improved vaccination against this subtype. Also pCMV-SPORT vector containing the GMCSF gene (Granolocyte- Monocyte colony stimulating factor) was used as molecular adjuvant along with DNA vaccination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus isolation and serotyping of FMDV antigen

The FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 isolate was collected from Tehran-Ray in 2007 and cultured on pig kidney cells (IBRS-2) in the Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute of Iran and serotyped using polyclonal antibodies against the seven serotypes^[15].

RNA extraction

The first passage of the FMDV was used to extract RNA using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of the total RNA was measured by a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer.

Primer designing for VP1 gene and RT-PCR

The primer was designed for amplification and cloning of the VP1 gene based on the published FMDV O/2001/UKG sequence (Accession number: DQ165019.1) classified as serotype O PanAsia. The sequences of forward and reverse primers were designed by the AlleleID6 software package. The sequences of specific primers are F: 5'- CGGGGTACCACCATGGTTGACGCTCGCACGCAG-3', R:5'-CGCGGATCCCTATTACAGGTCAAAGTTCAAAAGC-3', respectively. There are Kpn I and BamH I sequences and three overhanging nucleotides at the start of forward and reverse primers, respectively. The forward primer contains the kozak consensus sequence and start codon. The reverse primer contains two stop codons. The extracted RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified using the VP1 gene, the specific primer pair and the AccuPower one-step RT-PCR kit (Bioneer). The PCR product was 699 bp in size and purified by a DNA gel extraction kit (Fermentas).

Cloning and sequencing

The purified VP1 gene was sub-cloned into the unique Kpn I and BamH I cloning sites of the pcDNA3.1+ vector (Invitrogen) to construct the VP₁ gene cassette as used by other researchers and named pcDNA3.1+-VP1^{[9].} The DH5 α strain of *E.Coli* was transformed with the vector using heat shock and the CaCl₂ method. Positive clones were confirmed by restriction enzyme digestion and colony PCR. The confirmed clone was sequenced using the pcDNA3.1+ vector universal primer (T7 Forward)^[9,10,11]. Also, the VP1 gene without the stop codon was sub-cloned into the unique *Kpn* I and *Bam*H I cloning sites of the pEGFP-N1 vector to express the GFP-VP₁ fusion protein.

Expression of FMDV type O IRN/1/2007 VP₁ protein in BHKT7 cell

Four hundred thousand BHKT7 cells were seeded onto cover-slips on six-well plates and incubated at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator until the cells were 50 %-80 % confluent. The following day, 10 µg of plasmid DNA in 100 µL of serum-free Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) was mixed with 7 μL of LipofectamineTM reagent (Invitrogen, USA) in 100 μL of serum-free DMEM. The mixture was then incubated at room temperature for at least 30 min before it was diluted into 800 µL reduced-serum DMEM and added to the cells. After incubation for 5 h at 37 °C in a humidified incubator, 1 mL of medium containing 5 % fetal calf serum was added to each well^[12]. The transfected cells by pEGFP-N1-VP1 and pEGFP-N1 vectors were analyzed by Immunofluorescent microscopy (IF). Also, the transfected cells by pcDNA3.1⁺ vector containing VP1 genes were washed by PBS buffer and harvested by lysis buffer with PMCSF (proteinase inhibitor). The harvested cells were centrifuged at 9500 rpm at 4°C for 20 min, and supernatant was used for SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis. The specific band of the VP1 fusion protein was detected by Guinea pig specific polyclonal antibody against FMD virus type O and conjugated rabbit anti Guinea pig antibody with HRP as the second antibody.

Virus propagation

FMD virus type O/2007/IRN was propagated in BHK21 suspension culture by Earl's modified Eagle's medium (EMEM) and 0.5 % bovine serum at 37 °C incubator for 18 h. The Cytopathic Effect (CPE) was observed on BHK21 cells as lyses and suspended detached cells. The virus suspensions were centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 15 min, the supernatants were stored at -70 °C^[9,11,15].

Virus titration

The Tissue Culture Infection $Dose_{50}$ /mL (TCID₅₀ /mL) was defined as the number of virus particles per mL that can achieve CPE in 50 % of inoculated cells and was calculated by the Read and Munch method^[16].

Inactivation of FMDV type O IRN/1/2007 by Binary ethylenimine (BEI)

Virus samples were treated by 0.035 mol/L ethylenimine at 30 °C for 24 h for virus inactivation, then the inactivation was stopped by adding 0.04 mol/L sodium thiosulphate.

Safety test and Complement Fixation test (CF test)

Infectivity of inactivated virus sample was determined by the cell culture method. The inactivated virus samples were inoculated on IBRS-2 cells by Earl's modified Eagle's medium (EMEM) at 37 °C incubator for 18 h, then subcultured on fresh IBRS-2 cells four times. The antigenicity of inactivated FMDV samples were tested by the Complement Fixation test (CF test)^[5].

Vaccine formulation

The inactivated virus samples were treated by 6/1000 chloroform, absorbed on AL(OH)₃ gel and formulated by saponin, glycine, phenol red and phosphate buffer^[10]. By this

method the inactivated vaccine against FMD Virus type O/IRN/1/2007 by BEI as conventional vaccine (CV) was prepared. **Vaccination of mice**

Thirty six BALB/c female mice (4-5 weeks old) were provided by the RAZI Vaccine and Serum Research Institute (Karaj, Iran). The BALB/c mice were randomly divided into six groups. Groups 1, 2 and 3 were control groups inoculated with 100 µL PBS, 100 µg of plasmid pcDNA3.1+ and 100 µg of plasmid pCMV-SPORT-GMCSF gene, respectively. Animals in groups 4 were administrated with 100 µg of DNA Vaccine and animals in group 5 were co-administered with DNA Vaccine with pCMV-SPORT- GMCSF vector. Animals in group 6 were inoculated with 100 µL inactivated conventional vaccine. All of the six groups were boosted with the same inoculation at 2 weeks intervals two times. The route of administration for all of the animals was subcutaneous. All groups of mice were bled 10 and 70 days after the last injection. The sera were separated from the blood samples. The complement factors of the sera were inactivated at 56°C for 30 min. Then sera were tested for the presence of antibodies against FMDV type O by serum neutralization test^[16].

Titration of neutralizing antibodies

The sera were diluted in Eagle's maintenance medium in a 2-fold dilution stating from 1:4 to 1:128. The Serum Neutralization Test (SNT) was carried out according to the Kraber protocol^[16]. This test was performed on a monolayer of BHK21 cells in flat bottom-96 microplates. Any well in which the virus had been neutralized and for which the cells remained intact was tagged as a positive well and remaining wells in which the virus has not been neutralized and CPE could be shown were tagged as negative wells. Antibody titers were expressed as the logarithm of the reciprocal of the last serum dilution in the virus/serum mixture to neutralize 100 TCID₅₀ of homologous FMDV at the 50 % endpoint.

Preparation spleen cells and T-lymphocytes proliferation assay

The splenic lymphocytes were removed and cultured using a T-lymphocytes proliferation assay with MTT (3-4,5-dimethylthiazol-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide). Spleens of vaccinated mice were removed aseptically 10 and 70 days after last injection. The single splenic lymphocyte suspensions were prepared and incubated in 96-well plates at 5×10^4 cells/well by RPMI 1640 plus 10 % of fetal calf serum (FCS) at 37 °C in a 5 % CO₂. The cells were stimulated with 50 µL of Phytohemagglutinin (50 µg/mL) (positive control), 2.5 µg/mL of 146S antigen of FMDV type O IRN/1/ 2007 (specific antigen stimulation) and no antigen (negative control), in triplicates. After 48 h the MTT assay was performed using a Cell Proliferation Kit 1 (MTT) – Roche, according to manufacturer's instructions and absorbance was detected at 540 nm and stimulation index (SI) was measured.

Cytokines assay

For measurement of IFN- γ , IL-4 and IL-10, splenic lymphocytes were incubated as described above for the

proliferation assay. After 48 h, supernatants were collected and different dilutions were assayed in duplicate using commercial ELISA kits for IFN- γ , IL-4 and IL-10 (eBioscience Mouse IFN-g, IL-4 and IL-10 kits).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done by analysis of variance (ANOVA-One way) followed by Duncan's multiple range test. Significance was defined at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Serotyping of the isolated FMDV antigen was done by ELISA which subsequently showed serotype O. The plasmid DNA, pcDNA3.1+-VP1 cassette, was constructed and confirmed by sequencing and digestion with restriction enzymes *Kpn* I and *Bam*H I.

Nucleotide sequence of FMDV/O/IRN/2007 VP1

There are 672 nucleotides and 224 amino acid residues in the VP_1 coding region. The nucleotide sequence data was deposited in GenBank with accession number: JF288761.

Expression of FMDV type O IRN/1/2007 VP_1 protein in BHKT7 cell

The transfected BHKT7 cells with sub-cloned pEGFP-N1-VP1 vector were expressed with GFP-VP1 fusion protein and displayed more green fluorescence spots than the transfected BHKT7 cells with pEGFP-N1 vector as shown in Fig. 1. The expression of VP1 protein was detected by specific bands in Western blotting analysis, shown in Fig. 2.

Virus titration, CF and Safety tests

FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 titration was calculated by the Read and Munch method and reported $10^{8.5}$ TCID₅₀ per mL. The



Fig. 1. Expression of EGFP-VP1 fusion protein A and GFP protein B in transfected BHKT7 cells.



Fig. 2. Expression of VP1 protein in transfected BHKT7 cells by Western blot analysis.

Table 1. The anti FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 sera titration, MTT assay, IFN- γ , IL-4 and IL-10 concentrations of the vaccinated mice 10 days after last vaccination

Group No.	Type of vaccine	Mean of Antiserum titration±SE	MTT assay (SI±SD)	IFN-γ±SD (pg/mL)	IL-4±SD	IL-10±SD
1	PBS	$\leq 0.6 \pm 0.0745$ (Non protective)	0.98 ± 0.051	3.844 ± 0.58	79.11 ± 10.18	32.17±6.87
2	pcDNA3.1+	$\leq 0.6 \pm 0.0745$ (Non protective)	1.03 ± 0.020	8.60 ± 4.08	309.40 ± 54.44	38.08 ± 8.41
3	PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF	0.6 ± 0.0745 (Non protective)	1.14 ± 0.115	18.5 ± 13.4	349.66 ± 49.77	31.92 ± 8.40
4	DNA Vaccine	0.9 ± 0.0745 (Non protective)	1.23 ± 0.123	$619.76 \!\pm\! 144.28$	247.19 ± 72.17	73.04 ± 52.12
5	DNA Vaccine and PCMV- SPORT-GMCSF Vector	1.3±0.0745 (protective)	1.44 ± 0.131	1098±233.61	139.38±13.17	75.46±67.22
6	Conventional Inactivated vaccine	1.9±0.0745 (protective)	1.26 ± 0.095	464.27±355	1278.26±1010.56	1543.07±1171.62

Cytopathic Effect was not observed on IBRS-2 cells after three times blind culture of inactivated FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 samples, therefore the inactivated vaccine was safe. The result of the CF test was also showed the antigenicity of the inactivated virus was not changed.

Serum neutralization test

The anti FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 sera titration of the vaccinated mice 10 and 70 days after last vaccination are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The mice were immunized subcutaneously using plasmids DNA to express FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007VP1 and GMCSF genes and showed significant differences compared with the negative control groups (the groups which were immunized by PBs, pcDNA3.1+ and PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF) (P<0.01).

The results of Tables 1 and 2 indicate that both the mice immunized using co-administered DNA Vaccine with pCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vector and immunized with the inactivated vaccine show protective neutralizing antibody titer against FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007. However the response of vaccinated mice with PBS, pcDNA3.1+, PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vector and DNA Vaccine were not protective.

T-Lymphocytes proliferation and cytokines assay

The results of the MTT assay, IFN- γ , IL-4 and IL-10 concentrations in six groups of mice 10 days after last vaccination are shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the results of the MTT assay and IFN- γ concentration after 70 days. The SI values of the vaccinated mice groups; DNA Vaccine, DNA Vaccine with PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vector and conventional vaccine, were significantly higher than control groups (PBS and

pcDNA3.1+ vector) at 10 and 70 days after the last vaccination (P<0.05). The concentration of IFN- γ in group 5 (DNA Vaccine with PCMV-SPORT- GMCSF vector) was significantly higher than the other groups. Also, the IL-4 and IL-10 concentrations in group 6 were significantly higher than the other groups (P<0.05).

DISCUSSION

Although it is generally accepted that protective immunity to FMDV is principally due to neutralizing antibody, the cellular immune response provides an essential regulatory role in the induction and expression of the serological response. Therefore, an appropriate cellular immune response is essential to resolve FMD^[3,4,17]. DNA vaccines offer significant advantages over conventional inactivated vaccines or recombinant proteins, as the antigen is expressed intracellularly in the immunized animal. As a result, the processing and presentation of the viral epitopes occur in a way that is similar to natural infection. Indeed, DNA has been demonstrated to stimulate all effectors branches of the immune response, including antibody production, T lymphocyte proliferation response and CTL cytotoxic activity^[14,18]. However, in the absence of suitable adjuvants, DNA vaccination alone can only generate weak immune responses, particularly in the cellular response. Adjuvants, such as the Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA)^[6], or QS21^[20], are widely used in various vaccine formulations for the enhancement of immune responses. Some cytokines, for example, IL-1^[14], IL-12^[19], IFN^[13], GMCSF and TNF, as immune-modulators, have been reported to be effective in animal

Table 2. The anti FMDV type O/IRN/1/2007 sera titration, MTT assay, IFN-γ, IL-4 and IL-10 concentrations of the vaccinated mice 70 days after last vaccination

Group	Type of vaccine	Mean of Antiserum	MTT assay	IFN-γ±SD
No.		titration± SE	(SI±SD)	(pg/mL)
1	PBS	$\leq 0.6 \pm 0.0745$ (Non protective)	0.98 ± 0.051	16.11 ± 3.36
2	pcDNA3.1+	$\leq 0.6 \pm 0.0745$ (Non protective)	1.03 ± 0.020	22.71 ± 0.35
3	PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF	0.6±0.0745 (Non protective)	1.14 ± 0.115	23.98 ± 0.99
4	DNA Vaccine	1 ± 0.0745 (Non protective)	1.22 ± 0.035	$140.89 \!\pm\! 19.88$
5	DNA Vaccine and PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF Vector	1.2±0.0745 (protective)	1.43 ± 0.010	$515.81 \!\pm\! 197.89$
6	Conventional Inactivated vaccine	1.8±0.0745 (protective)	1.38 ± 0.153	193.29 ± 14.02

models or clinical tests.

Ma *et al.* showed that DNA vaccine pVIR-P12AIL18-3C co-expressing IL-18 could elicit higher levels of T lymphocyte proliferation response and neutralizing antibody titer than DNA vaccine pVIR-P12A-3C without IL-18 in swine or mice (P < 0.05)^[12]. Kim *et al.* intramuscularly immunized mice with a cDNA expression vector that was constructed to express the VP1 gene of FMDV and showed antibody response to VP1 was increased^[10]. Park *et al.* constructed a plasmid DNA to expressVP1/interleukin-1 α in immunized mice. The results showed that although the immunized groups did not carry a high level of neutralizing antibodies, the plasmid encoding the VP1/IL-1 α was effective in inducing an enhanced immune response^[14].

In the present study, interestingly, subcutaneous injection produced neutralizing antibody titres in mice immunized with plasmid vectors expressing co-administered VP1 and GMCSF genes, the VP1 gene cassette alone, and the conventional inactivated vaccine induced significant amount of antibody titres (P<0.01). According to previous studies neutralizing antibody titres more than 1.2 are protective for $FMDV^{[8]}$, therefore the immunized mice with co-administered DNA vaccine and pCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vector and the conventional inactivated vaccine showed protective antibody titres, and the negative control groups (the mice which were immunized by PBs, pcDNA3.1+ and PCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vectors) showed no response. The greater neutralizing antibody response in group 5 compared to group 4 indicated that GMCSF induced an immune system as a molecular adjuvant. T-lymphocyte proliferation assay and IFN-y concentration showed cellular immunity dependent on Th1 was the greatest in group 5 (co-administered DNA vaccine and pCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vector). The concentrations of IL-4 and IL-10 showed Th₂, was not increased in groups 4 and 5 (DNA vaccine and coadministered DNA vaccine with pCMV-SPORT-GMCSF vector). Therefore in the mice group 5, which was vaccinated by DNA vaccine with pCMV-SPORT-GMCSF, neutralizing antibody response was protective and the Th1 immunity was the greatest.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to the Research and Technology Deputy of Agricultural, Medical and Industrial Research Institute and Research Deputy of Tarbiat Modares University.

References

- 1. Barteling S J, Vreeswijk J. 1991. Developments in FMD vaccines. Vaccine, 9: 75–87.
- Berzofsky J A, Ahlers J D, Belyakov I M. 2001. Strategies for designing and optimizing new gene ration vaccines. Nat Rev Immunol, 1(3): 209–219.
- 3. Collen T, Pullen L, Doel T R. 1989. T-cell-dependent induction

of antibody against foot-and-mouth disease virus in a mouse model. J Gen Virol, 70: 395–403.

- Francis M J, Hastings G Z, Syred A D, et al. 1987. Non-responsiveness to a foot-and-mouth disease virus peptide overcomes by addition of foreign helper T-cell determinants. Nature, 330: 168–170.
- Frescura T, Vivoli P. 1973. Studies of the FMD virus sub-types using antigens inactivated by gamma radiations. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B, 20(10): 822–825.
- Grubman M J, Lewis S A, Morgan D O. 1993. Protection of swine against foot-and-mouth disease with viral capsid proteins expressed in heterologous systems. Vaccine, 11: 825–829.
- Guo H, Liu Z, Sun S, et al. 2005. Immune response in guinea pigs vaccinated with DNA vaccine of foot-and-mouth disease virus O/China99. Vaccine, 23 (25): 3236–3242.
- Haratian K, Roustaei M H, Mahravani H, et al. 2000. Neutralizing Antibody Response in Cattle Following Vaccination against Foot-and-Mouth Disease. Arch Razi Ins, 51: 129–132.
- Lv K, Guo Y, Zhang Y, *et al.* 2009. Transient inhibition of foot-and-mouth disease virus replication by siRNAs silencing VP1 protein coding region. Res Vet Sci, 86 (3): 443–452.
- Kim S A, Liang C M, Cheng I C, *et al.* 2006. DNA vaccination against foot-and mouth disease via electroporation: stdy of molecular approaches for enhancing VP1 antigenicity. J Gene Med, 8: 1182–1191.
- Mahravani H, Keyvanfar H, Izadi H, et al. 2007. Genetic and antigenic analysis of type O and A FMD viruses isolated in Iran. Arch Razi Ins, 62 (2): 63–68.
- Ma M, Jin N, Liu H, *et al.* 2007. Immunogenicity of plasmids encoding P12A and 3C of FMDV and swine IL-18. Antiviral Res, 76: 59–67.
- Moraes M P, Chinsangaram J, Brum M C, et al. 2003. Immediate protection of swine from foot-and-mouth disease: a combination of adenoviruses expressing interferon alpha and a foot-and-mouth disease virus subunit vaccine. Vaccine, 22 (2): 268–279.
- Park J H, Kim S J, Oem J K, *et al.* 2006. Enhanced immune response with foot and mouth disease virus VP1 and interleukin-1 fusion genes. J Vet Sci, 7(3): 257–262.
- Qian F, Chen X, Ma O, *et al.* 2002. Serotype and VP1 gene sequence of a foot-and-mouth disease virus from Hong Kong. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 30: 715–721.
- Reed L J, Muench H. 1938. A simple method of estimating 50 % end points. Am J Hyg, 27: 493–497.
- Sanz-Parra A, Blasco R, Sobrino F, *et al.* 1998. Analysis of the B and T cell response in guinea swine induced with recombinant vaccinia expressing foot-and-mouth disease virus structural proteins. Arch Virol, 143: 389–398.
- Sharma A K, Khuller G K. 2001. DNA vaccines: future strategies and relevance to intracellular pathogens. Immunol Cell Biol, 79(6): 537–546.
- Sin J I, Kim J J, Arnold R L, et al. 1999. IL-12 gene as a DNA vaccine adjuvant in a herpes mouse model: IL-12 enhances

Th1-type CD4+ T cell-mediated protective immunity against herpes simplex virus-2 challenge. **J Immunol**, 162: 2912–2921.

- Taboga O, Tami C, Carrillo E, et al. 1997. A large-scale evaluation of peptide vaccines against foot-and-mouth disease: lack of solid protection in cattle and isolation of escape mutants. J Virol, 71: 2606–2614.
- Wittmann G. 1990. Virus carriers in foot-and-mouth disease. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr, 103(5): 145–150.
- 22. Wong H T, Cheng S C, Chan E W, et al. 2000. Plasmids encoding foot-and-mouth disease virus VP1 epitopes elicited immune responses in mice and swine and protected swine against

viral infection. Virology, 278 (1): 27-35.

- Wong H T, Cheng S C, Sin F W, et al. 2002. A DNA vaccine against foot-and-mouth disease elicits an immune response in swine which is enhanced by co-administration with interleukin-2. Vaccine, 20 (21–22): 2641–2647.
- Zhang H Y, Sun S H, Guo Y J, *et al.* 2008. Optimizing strategy for plasmid DNAs containing multiple-epitopes of foot-and-mouth disease virus by cis-expression with IL-2. Vaccine, 26: 769–777.
- 25. Zhang Z D, Kitching R P. 2001. The localization of persistent foot and mouth disease virus in the epithelial cells of the soft palate and pharynx. J Comp Pathol, 124 (2–3): 89–94.