REVIEW



Roles of IkB kinase ϵ in the innate immune defense and beyond

Junjie Zhang^{1⊠}, Mao Tian¹, Zanxian Xia², Pinghui Feng¹

1. Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles 90033, USA

2. State Key Laboratory of Medical Genetics and School of Life Sciences, Central South University, Changsha 410008, China

IkB kinase ε (IKK ε) is a non-canonical IkB kinase that is extensively studied in the context of innate immune response. Recently, significant progress has been made in understanding the role of IKK ε in interferon (IFN) signaling. In addition to its roles in innate immunity, recent studies also demonstrate that IKK ε is a key regulator of the adaptive immune response. Specifically, IKK ε functions as a negative feedback kinase to curtail CD8 T cell response, implying that it can be a potential therapeutic target to boost antiviral and antitumor T cell immunity. In this review, we highlight the roles of IKK ε in regulating IFN signaling and T cell immunity, and discuss a few imminent questions that remain to be answered.

KEYWORDS IκB kinase ε (IKKε); antiviral immune response; IFN signaling; T cell immunity

INTRODUCTION

Innate immune signaling pathways are activated when pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are recognized by host pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) (Brubaker et al., 2015). A major consequence is the activation of multiple transcription factors, including nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kB) and interferon regulatory factors (IRFs), which drive the expression and secretion of inflammatory cytokines and type I IFNs that restrict the proliferation and dissemination of infecting microbes. Type I IFN-mediated signaling triggers phosphorylation of signal transducers and activators of transcription 1 (STAT1) and STAT2. STAT1, STAT2 and IRF9 form a complex termed Interferon Stimulation Gene Factor 3 (ISGF3), which is responsible for the induction of hundreds of IFN-Stimulated Genes (ISGs) (Platanias, 2005). Specifically, tyrosine701 (Y701) of STAT1 is phosphorylated upon IFN stimulation, and is

Received: 27 October 2016, Accepted: 22 December 2016, Published online: 28 December 2016 ⊠Correspondence: Phone: +1-323-8650972, Fax: +1-323-8650778, Email: junjie.zhang@usc.edu ORCID: 0000-0003-3812-3850 essential for the induction of a broad range of ISGs (Platanias, 2005).

The canonical IKKs, including IKK α and IKK β , form a complex with the adaptor protein NEMO that is required for NF- κ B activation. IKK α and IKK β contain an N-terminal kinase domain, a central leucine-zipper and helix-loop-helix domain, and C-terminal NEMO-binding domain (NBD). The non-canonical IKKs, i.e., TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and IKK ϵ (also known as IKKi) (Shimada et al., 1999), share a domain structure similar to IKK α and IKK β . However, TBK1 and IKK ϵ do not have NBD, and presumably do not associate with NEMO (Verhelst et al., 2013). This review will focus on IKK ϵ , while the closely related TBK1 kinase will be referred to whenever relevant function is considered.

IKKε IS REQUIRED FOR ANTIVIRAL IMMUNE RESPONSE

IKK ε and TBK1 appear to play similar function in phosphorylating IRF3 and I κ B α (Peters et al., 2000; Fitzgerald et al., 2003; Sharma et al., 2003), which is consistent with the finding that IKK ε and TBK1 share similar substrate phosphorylation motif (Hutti et al., 2012). Nonetheless, there are some differences between IKK ε and TBK1. Although initial studies demonstrated that TBK1 and IKKE can phosphorylate IRF3, work using gene knockout cells and mice show that TBK1, but not IKKE, is essential for IFN induction in response to viral infection (Mcwhirter et al., 2004). In contrast to TBK1 that is constitutively expressed in most cell types, the expression of IKKE is limited to specific cell types (e.g., lymphocytes) and is induced by PMA, LPS and many inflammatory cytokines (Shimada et al., 1999; Peters et al., 2000). Knockout of TBK1 in mice leads to embryonic lethality (Bonnard et al., 2000; Hemmi et al., 2004), whereas IKKE-deficient mice are viable and born with Mendelian heredity (Hemmi et al., 2004; Tenoever et al., 2007). These results agree with the conclusion that IKKE and TBK1 control non-overlapped signaling events. For example, IKKE, but not TBK1, is activated by type I IFNs to phosphorylate STAT1 and induce the expression of a subset of ISGs (Tenoever et al., 2007; Perwitasari et al., 2011). Considering that IKKE and TBK1 have identical substrates in vitro (Hutti et al., 2012), the different substrate specificity of IKKE and TBK1 in vivo likely stems from other regulatory factors. One intriguing example is TRIM6 that catalyzes the assembly of K48linked polyubiquitin chain to specifically activate IKKE upon type I IFN-stimulation (Rajsbaum et al., 2014).

Despite that overexpressed IKKE was initially reported to phosphorylate IκBα (Shimada et al., 1999; Peters et al., 2000), subsequent studies revealed that loss of IKKε did not affect IkBα degradation. Instead, TBK1 and IKKE are able to phosphorylate the transcription factors IRF3 and IRF7 that is required for type I IFN production (Sharma et al., 2003; Hemmi et al., 2004). Moreover, IFN induction in IKKE-deficient mice and primary cells was not reduced upon influenza virus challenge (Tenoever et al., 2007). Taken together, it seems that IKKE is dispensable for influenza virus-induced IFN production. Alternatively, IKKE may play a redundant role with other molecules, i.e. TBK1, in IFN production during influenza virus infection. The role of IKKE in IFN production induced by other types of viruses awaits further investigation. On the other hand, IKKE is required for the efficient induction of a subset of ISGs upon type I IFN stimulation.

ROLES OF IKKE IN THE INDUCTION OF ISGs

Compared to wild-type mice, IKK knockout mice were more susceptible to influenza virus infection (Tenoever et al., 2007). Surprisingly, IKKE knockout mice and primary cells produced IFNs comparable to wild-type counterparts upon influenza virus challenge (Tenoever et al., 2007). It was found that loss of IKKE reduced the induction of a subset of ISGs, including ISG54 (or IFIT2),

ADAR1, IFIT3 and Mx1. Interestingly, some ISGs, such as IRF7 and ISG15, were expressed in an IKKE-independent manner in response to influenza virus infection. Thus, IKKE is required for the efficient induction of ISGs upon IFN stimulation. Similarly, IKKE has been shown to be required for West Nile Virus (WNV)-induced IFIT2 production (Perwitasari et al., 2011). Using STAT1 S708 phospho-specific antibody, Perwitasari et al. unambiguously demonstrated that IKKE was necessary for STAT1 S708 phosphorylation upon IFN treatment. In contrast, the phosphorylation of Y701 and S727 was not dependent on IKKE. During WNV infection, IRF3 activation clearly preceded STAT1 S708 phosphorylation, consistent with the notion that IKKE is activated by IFN stimulation. Conversely, IFN signaling is necessary for STAT1 phosphorylation by IKKE in response to WNV infection, because the phosphorylation of STAT1 at S708 was abolished in cells deficient in IRF3 and IFN receptor. Moreover, IFIT2 induction was significantly reduced in IKKE knockout mice such that IKKE knockout mice were more susceptible to WNV infection than wild-type mice (Perwitasari et al., 2011). Recently, IKKE was found to be involved in IFN-induced Usp25 expression and IRF7 was required for the induction of Usp25 (Lin et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2016). IKKE and TBK1 played redundant roles in this process, since either the expression of IKKE or TBK1 could support the induction of Usp25 upon IFN treatment. Whether the IFN-IRF7 signaling axis is involved in the induction of other ISGs awaits further study. Another interesting question remained to be addressed is whether TBK1 is also required for the efficient induction of other ISGs upon IFN treatment. Nonetheless, these findings collectively indicate that IKKE is critical to elicit a full breadth of IFN-mediated antiviral response via inducing ISG expression.

As summarized, substantial evidences indicate that the phosphorylation of STAT1 at S708 by IKKE is required for the induction of IKKE-dependent ISGs upon IFN stimulation. This conclusion is further explored and validated by several studies (Perwitasari et al., 2011; Rajsbaum et al., 2014). For example, TRIM6, which is necessary for type I IFN-induced IKKE activation, is also critical for the induction of IKKE-dependent ISGs. This observation brings an intriguing question: Why IKKE only activates a subset of ISGs? Computational analysis revealed that IKKE-independent ISGs contain a purine-rich sequence in IFN-stimulated response elements (ISREs), which is absent in IKKE-dependent ISGs (Tenoever et al., 2007). The purine-rich motif may enhance the binding of STAT2 and the transcription of IKKE-independent ISGs. Based on this observation, it was postulated that, in the absence of the purine-rich sequence in IKKEdependent ISGs, a more stable interaction between



STAT1-STAT2-IRF9 (ISGF3) will be required for the binding of ISGF3 to ISRE-containing promoters to initiate an effective transcription. Thus, the phosphorylation of STAT1 by IKK ε at S708 facilitates the formation of a more stable ISGF3 to enable the transcription of IKK ε dependent ISGs. This hypothesis remains to be experimentally tested.

Upon type I IFN treatment, the phosphorylation of STAT1 S708 was detected at time points later than that of STAT1 Y701 (Perwitasari et al., 2011). Furthermore, the phosphorylation of S708 occurred only after Y701 was dephosphorylated, suggesting that the phosphorylation of these two sites is mutually exclusive (Perwitasari et al., 2011). It was previously reported that de novo protein synthesis is required for the phosphorylation of STAT1 S708 induced by type I IFNs (Perwitasari et al., 2011). One possibility is that the newly synthesized ISG(s) is necessary for STAT1 S708 phosphorylation by IKKE. Since TRIM6 was not induced by IFNs, the IFNinduced factors that are required for IKKE to phosphorylate STAT1 S708 remain to be identified. On the other hand, how phosphorylation of STAT1 S708 affects the ISRE-binding activity of ISGF3 calls for further investigation. The detailed structural analysis of STAT1 carrying phosphorylated S708 will undoubtedly provide critical insights into the interaction between a transcription factor with its cognate responsive promoters. Another related question is whether the difference in the expression of IKKE-dependent and -independent ISGs stems solely from the action of the S708 phosphorylated STAT1, i.e. whether alternative substrates or signaling events downstream of IKK ε also contribute to the expression of those IKK ε -dependent ISGs. The alternative substrates or signaling events are poorly defined (Figure. 1).

ROLES OF IKKE IN T CELL ACTIVATION

In contrast to the antiviral activity of IKKE against RNA viruses (e.g., influenza virus), loss of IKKE in mice dramatically reduced the persistent infection of a model DNA virus (Zhang et al., 2016), murine gamma herpesvirus 68 (yHV68). IKK knockout mice produced significantly higher levels of antiviral CD8 T cell response upon γ HV68 challenge. This observation is consistent with the notion that T cell immunity is the major player to contain viral persistent infection, in the form of latent infection for herpesviruses. Mechanistically, IKKE was identified as a kinase of nuclear factors of activated T cells (NFATs) and phosphorylated NFAT proteins to restrict the activation of NFAT transcription factors (Figure 2). Key transcriptional factors of T cell activation (Muller and Rao, 2010), NFAT proteins contain an amino-terminal transactivation domain, a regulatory domain, a DNA-binding domain and a carboxyl-terminal domain that often harbors an additional transactivation domain (Muller and Rao, 2010). The regulatory domain can be phosphorylated by various kinases, including ca-



Figure 1. IKKE is required for the efficient induction of ISGs upon IFN stimulation. Type I interferons (IFNs), including IFN- α and IFN- β bind type I IFN receptor (IFNAR) on the surface of human cells. IFNAR consists of two subunits, IFNAR1 and IFNAR2, which are associated with tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) and JAK1, respectively. Activation of JAK1 and TYK2 upon IFN stimulation leads to tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT2. Together with IRF9, they form the STAT1-STAT2-IRF9 complex termed ISGF3. The ISGF3 complex translocates into the nucleus and binds IFN-stimulated response elements (ISREs) to initiate gene transcription. IFN treatment activates IKKE that phosphorylates STAT1 at S708. The phosphorylation of STAT1 by IKKE promotes the transcription of a subset of ISGs (IKKɛ-dependent ISGs).



Figure 2. IKKs phosphorylates NFAT and restricts NFAT activation during T cell activation. In resting cells, NFAT is hyperphosphorylated and resides in the cytoplasm. Upon T cell activation, increased calcium leads to the activation of the calcineurin phosphotase, which dephosphorylates NFAT, promotes NFAT nuclear translocation and activates gene expression. T cell activation stimulates IKKE, which phosphorylates NFAT and counteracts on NFAT signaling activation. Thus, IKKE restricts T cell activation as a negative feedback regulator.

sein kinase 1, glycogen synthase kinase 3β , and the dualspecificity tyrosine phosphorylation regulated kinase (DYRK) (Muller and Rao, 2010). In resting cells, the phosphorylation of NFAT by these kinases excludes NFAT from the nucleus. Upon T cell activation, calcium influx activates numerous calcium-dependent enzymes. The most extensively studied calcium-dependent enzyme, the calcineurin phosphatase dephosphorylates NFAT, resulting in NFAT nuclear translocation and upregulated expression of NFAT-responsive genes. Roles of most NFAT kinases, except DYRK1A, in suppressing NFAT signaling activity in vivo has not been reported. Interestingly, the transgenic expression of DYRK1A in mice was sufficient to decrease the protein level of DSCR1 (also known as RCAN1), an NFAT-dependent gene, and induce vascular defects (Arron et al., 2006). The deficit of vascular system agrees with that NFAT activation is crucial for endothelial cell growth and proliferation (Johnson et al., 2003). These results indicate that DYRK1A suppresses NFAT activity in vivo. Conversely, loss of IKKE releases its restriction of NFAT signaling and enhances T cell activation, supporting its function as an NFAT kinase. T cell activation by receptor ligation or pharmacological activation (e.g., PMA) induced the activation of IKK (Yu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Activated IKKE in T cells phosphorylated NFAT, but not S708 of STAT1 (Zhang et al., 2016), suggesting that IKKE relays signal transduction to mount distinct transcriptional responses in a manner dependent on cell type or apical signal. Moreover, viral latent infection and tumor cell challenge induced the activation of IKK ε , thus suppressing T cell immunity against viral or tumor antigens (Zhang et al., 2016). These results imply the potential to boost the antiviral and antitumor activity of CD8 T cells by inhibiting IKK ε , analogous to the PD-1/PD-L1 blockage immunotherapy (Zitvogel and Kroemer, 2012). Collectively, these findings support the conclusion that IKK ε serves as a negative feedback kinase to restrict NFAT activation and T cell immune response, suggesting that IKK ε can be a potential therapeutic target to improve antiviral and antitumor immunotherapy.

The dramatically reduced yHV68 latent infection in IKKE-deficient mice is reminiscent of the observation that blockade of type I IFNs reduced LCMV latent infection in mice (Teijaro et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2013). Chronic IFN signaling has been associated with disease progression in LCMV persistent infection. Treatment with antibody blocking IFNAR1 reversed these pathological phenotypes and diminished LCMV persistent infection. The reduced LCMV persistent infection depended on CD4 T cells and IFN γ , while the antibody response and CD8 antiviral cytotoxicity were not affected by IFN blockade (Teijaro et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2013). Because type I IFNs activate IKKE and IFNy is a known NFAT-dependent gene (Kiani et al., 2001), it is tempting to speculate that the elevated antiviral T cell immunity of IFN blockade is partly achieved via ablating the IKKE-mediated inhibition of NFAT signaling. Such a molecular link may provide a mechanistic explanation underpinning the effect of IFN blockade on LCMV infection and pathogenesis.



While IKKE deficiency elevates CD8 T cell immune response in vivo. loss of TBK1 in mice elevated CD4 T cell Immune response. T cell-specific ablation of TBK1 promoted CD4 T cell activation, and caused retention of effector T cells in the draining lymph node in an experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) mouse model (Yu et al., 2015). At the molecular level, TBK1 stimulated AKT ubiquitination and degradation, thereby down-regulating AKT signaling in CD4 T cells. Thus, the ablation of TBK1 increased CD4 T cell activation and reduced effector T cell exit from the draining lymph nodes. Treatment of EAE mice with Amlexanox (a TBK1 inhibitor) alleviated these symptoms, suggesting that TBK1 is a potential therapeutic target for neuroinflammation and related diseases (Yu et al., 2015). The function of IKKE in CD4 T cell Immune response remains undetermined, but it is tempting to speculate that IKKε deficiency may enhance CD4 T cell activation. However, this needs to be examined with appropriate in vivo mouse studies.

ΙΚΚε ΑCTIVATION

It was previously shown that type I IFNs activate IKKE (Tenoever et al., 2007). A subsequent study showed that treatment with type II and III IFNs also activated IKKE (Perwitasari et al., 2011). Despite these interesting observations, the detailed mechanism by which IKKE is activated by IFNs remains unknown. Recently, an interesting study by Rajsbaum et al. has made a significant step towards understanding how IKKE is activated by type I IFNs (Rajsbaum et al., 2014). It was found that TRIM6 interacted with IKKE and was required for the expression of IKKE-dependent ISGs induced by IFNs. TRIM6, in the presence of the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UbE2K, catalyzed the synthesis of unanchored K48linked polyubiquitin chains that in turn triggered IKKE activation. This study, for the first time, provides a mechanistic insight into the activation of IKKE. One surprising observation is that the free K48-linked ubiquitin chain serves as a second messenger to activate IKKE. Previously, it has been shown that unanchored K63linked ubiquitin chain is required for the activation of the intracellular RNA sensor RIG-I (Zeng et al., 2010). These studies collectively support the conclusion that the free polyubiquitin chain is a second messenger to facilitate antiviral immune response. Specific to IKKE activation by TRIM6, the authors also observed a very interesting cellular structure, which was dubbed "Ub factories". Within these "Ub factories", TRIM6 recruits IKKE and Ub to facilitate the synthesis of free polyubiquitin chains that instigate IKKE activation. The question to follow was how TRIM6 is activated. The authors speculated that JAK1 may phosphorylate TRIM6, which leads to its

activation. And this hypothesis remains to be experimentally examined.

In addition to IFN treatment, T cell receptor engagement and calcium influx potently activated IKKE in T cells (Peters et al., 2000; Yu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Interestingly, IKKE activated by calcium mobilization in T cells is distinct from that activated by IFNs. During T cell activation, activated IKK potently phosphorylated NFAT transcription factors and inhibited T cell immune responses, while STAT1 S708 phosphorylation was not detected. It remains to be determined whether IKKE can phosphorylate NFAT upon IFN treatment and whether TRIM6 and free K48-linked polyubiquitin chains are also critical for IKKE activation in T cells. Nevertheless, these findings suggest that the substrate specificity of activated IKKE is context-dependent, which may include cell type and the nature of apical activating signal. For example, IKKE may be activated by distinct mechanism upon IFN treatment or T cell activation by TCR ligation or pharmacological activation. Whether distinct forms of activated IKKE exist or tissue-specific cofactors enable substrate specificity of IKKE remains to be determined. Investigation into this intriguing question will shed light on the mode of activation of IKKE under diverse physiological conditions.

VIRAL ANTAGONIZATION OF IKKE-MEDIATED IMMUNE RESPONSE

IKKE and TBK1 are critical for host antiviral immune responses. As obligate intracellular pathogens, viruses have evolved elaborate strategies to evade host immune defense by targeting IKKE and TBK1. Viral immune evasion through targeting TBK1 has been reviewed extensively elsewhere (Zhao, 2013; Hasan and Yan, 2016). Ebola virus VP35 blocks virus-induced IRF-3 phosphorylation and inhibits the IRF3-dependent gene expression (Basler et al., 2003; Cardenas et al., 2006). Subsequently, it was found that VP35 interacted with both IKKE and TBK-1, and blocked their interactions with IRF3, thereby preventing IRF3 phosphorylation (Prins et al., 2009). Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is a febrile illness caused by the emerging phlebovirus. The SFTS virus (SFTSV) nonstructural protein formed inclusion bodies in infected cells and sequestered IKKE and IRF3 into inclusion bodies to eliminate their antiviral activity (Wu et al., 2013). Several Paramyxoviruses V proteins interact with TBK1 and IKKE to inhibit IRF3 activation. Intriguingly, V proteins encoded by mumps virus (MuV), human parainfluenza virus 2 (hPIV2), and parainfluenza virus 5 (PIV5) were phosphorylated by TBK-1/ IKKE, reducing the expression of V proteins. Thus, V proteins mimic IRF3 in both its phosphorylation by TBK1/IKKE and the subsequent

degradation (Lu et al., 2008), serving as a decoy substrate to block IRF3 phosphorylation and activation. ORF45 of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) employs a similar substrate mimicry strategy to antagonize IRF3 phosphorylation and IFN induction. Consistent with the decoy substrate idea, ORF45 was phosphorylated more efficiently than IRF7 by IKKE and TBK1. Thus, KSHV ORF45 serves as an alternative but more efficient substrate to suppress IRF7 phosphorylation and activation (Liang et al., 2012). Additionally, a KSHV-encoded microRNA, miR-K12-11, down-regulated IFN signaling through targeting IKKE. Expression of miR-K12-11 attenuated IFN signaling by decreasing IKKE-mediated IRF3/IRF7 phosphorylation and by inhibiting the expression of IKKE-dependent ISGs (Liang et al., 2011). Hepatitis C virus NS2 protease associated with IKKE and TBK1 and interrupted the kinase activity to block IFN production (Kaukinen et al., 2012). Recently, Nipah virus matrix (NiV-M) protein was found to interact with TRIM6 and promote TRIM6 degradation (Bharaj et al., 2016). TRIM6 synthesizes free K48-linked polyubiquitin chain that is required for IKKE activation and the induction of IKKE-dependent ISGs. Indeed, NiV-M expression reduced levels of unanchored K48-linked polyubiquitin chains associated with IKKE, thereby crippling IKKE activation and subsequent IFN-mediated responses. The matrix proteins of Ghana, Hendra and Cedar viruses have evolved similar strategies to antagonize IFN responses (Bharaj et al., 2016). These studies not only elucidate viral strategies that evade host immune defense mechanisms of IFN signaling, but also provide molecular insight into the regulation by which host IFN signaling can be dynamically regulated in viral infection and host immune defense.

TARGETING IKKE FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY

IKKE was initially identified as a breast cancer oncogene in a shRNA library screen. Subsequently, accumulating studies implicated IKKE in the development of diverse human cancers of the prostate, liver, ovarian and skin (Boehm et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2013; Challa et al., 2016; Peant et al., 2016). Most notably, IKKE promoted oncogenesis through its intrinsic activity in activating NF-kB and AKT (Xie et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013). Roles of IKKE in tumor development have been extensively reviewed elsewhere (Clement et al., 2008; Shen and Hahn, 2010; Verhelst et al., 2013) and will not be discussed here. Rather, we will briefly discuss the emerging possibility to target IKK for immunotherapy.

Recent studies suggest that strategies targeting IKKE for inhibition are likely viable to enhance the antiviral

and antitumor immunity. IKKE is activated by proinflammary cytokines such as TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6. Chronic inflammation underpins the development of a broad spectrum of human cancers, and the activation of IKKE by these inflammatory cytokines may suppress the antitumor immune responses. Additionally, IKKE expressed in tumor cells promotes survival and proliferation, facilitating multiple steps of the tumorigenic process. Thus, inhibiting IKKE will not only thwart tumorigenesis per se, but also enhance the antitumor immunity of T cells to restrict tumor development and metastasis.

Worldwide, seven human tumor viruses have been found to cause around 15% of human cancers (Mclaughlin-Drubin and Munger, 2008; Moore and Chang, 2010). Persistent viral infection triggers IKKE activation that suppresses the antiviral and antitumor activity of CD8 T cells (Zhang et al., 2016). Theoretically, inhibitors of IKKE will boost NFAT activation and the killing activity of CD8 T cells. Similar strategies have been approved to be a great success by the application of immune checkpoint inhibitors (Postow et al., 2015).

Currently, BX795 and Amlexanox are widely used to inhibit IKKE activity in cultured cells (Clark et al., 2009: Reilly et al., 2013). Similar to other kinase inhibitors, these compounds have apparent off-target effect and often toxic. Moreover, Amlexanox suffers from low potency in vitro with an IC50 of 20-50 µmol/L, which makes it difficult to effectively inhibit IKKE in vivo. MRT67307 is a modified version of BX795 with improved specificity. MRT67307 can efficiently inhibit TBK1 and IKKE, but had no effect on JNK and p38 MAPK that were inhibited by BX795 (Clark et al., 2010). A series of azabenzimidazole derivatives were shown to potently inhibit TBK1 and IKKE (Wang et al., 2012). The in vivo effect of these compounds remains to be determined. The fact that TBK1 and IKKE demonstrate kinase activity toward an identical array of substrates in vitro (Hutti et al., 2009; Hutti et al., 2012) makes it a challenge to differentially target TBK-1 and IKKE in vivo. Considering the high identity of amino acid sequence shared by TBK-1 and IKKE, it is possible that IKKE adopts a structure similar to TBK-1 that has been solved by crystallography. Future detailed structural analysis of IKKE will pave the way for the development of IKKE-specific inhibitors.

MORE IKKE SUBSTRATES?

The function of IKK ϵ as an oncogene in cancer is more broadly appreciated, while its roles in IFN-mediated immune defense or T cell response are limited. This is largely due to the very few substrates identified to date. STAT1 phosphorylation by IKKE at S708 significantly



advanced our understanding concerning the role of IKK ε in IFN signaling, while NFAT phosphorylation by IKK ε defined a surprising negative regulatory function of IKK ε in T cell immune responses. Recently, it was shown that IKK ε contributes to the maintenance of Th17 cell through phosphorylating GSK3 α (Gulen et al., 2012). Specifically, IKK ε was activated by IL-1 and phosphorylated S21 of GSK3 α . As such, IKK ε inactivated GSK3 α to promote IL-1-induced AKT-mTOR activation, a signaling pathway critical for Th17 cell maintenance. This study implies that IKK ε is a potential therapeutic target to treat IL-17-dependent autoimmune diseases.

IKKE substrate specificity was examined by in vitro kinase assay using a randomly synthesized peptide library. This work identified a phosphorylation motif (xx-x-Y/F/P/M-x-pS-L/I/M/F-x-Y/W/F-x, with x being any amino acid) as IKKE substrate. Computational search guided by this deduced sequence of phosphorylation motif yielded a large number of potential substrates of IKKE (Hutti et al., 2009), a few of which were validated. Interestingly, $I\kappa B\alpha$, one of the best-defined IKK substrates, doesn't contain the optimal motif. Additionally, phosphorylation sites of STAT1 and NFAT proteins do not conform to the consensus motif derived from the peptide library screen. Conceivably, the list of IKKE substrates will continue to expand with our increasing understanding in IKKE function. In doing so, it is plausible to systematically identify the substrate of IKKE by phosphoproteomics, which has been applied to dissect TBK1-mediated phosphorylation (Kim et al., 2013). It is anticipated that our knowledge of the key roles of IKKE in fundamental biological processes will explode along with the identification and functional characterization of substrate phosphorylation by IKKE.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND PERSPECTIVES

IKKE is critically involved in diverse biological processes, including innate immune signaling, T cell activation, inflammation, tumorigenesis and metabolic diseases (Chiang et al., 2009). As summarized in this short review, significant progress has been made to understand roles of IKKE in signal transduction entailing innate and adaptive immunity. IKKE activation induced by IFN treatment leads to STAT1 phosphorylation and the induction of IKKE-dependent ISGs. During T cell activation, IKKE is stimulated to phosphorylate NFAT proteins and restrict T cell activation. To counteract the antiviral effect of IKKE, viruses have evolved various strategies to target IKKE and inhibit IKKE-mediated antiviral immunity. It is of great interest to develop IKKE modulators to treat chronic infectious diseases, autoimmune disorders and inflammation-related malignancies

such as cancer. More studies are needed to elucidate the specific molecular mechanism governing IKK activation under distinct physiological stimulations and pathological conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Joint Funds of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U1603126) (to Z. X.).

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICS GUIDELINES

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

REFERENCES

- Arron JR, Winslow MM, Polleri A, Chang CP, Wu H, Gao X, Neilson JR, Chen L, Heit JJ, Kim SK, Yamasaki N, Miyakawa T, Francke U, Graef IA, Crabtree GR. 2006. NFAT dysregulation by increased dosage of DSCR1 and DYRK1A on chromosome 21. Nature, 441: 595–600.
- Basler CF, Mikulasova A, Martinez-Sobrido L, Paragas J, Muhlberger E, Bray M, Klenk HD, Palese P, Garcia-Sastre A. 2003. The Ebola virus VP35 protein inhibits activation of interferon regulatory factor 3. J Virol, 77: 7945–7956.
- Bharaj P, Wang YE, Dawes BE, Yun TE, Park A, Yen B, Basler CF, Freiberg AN, Lee B, Rajsbaum R. 2016. The Matrix Protein of Nipah Virus Targets the E3-Ubiquitin Ligase TRIM6 to Inhibit the IKKepsilon Kinase-Mediated Type-I IFN Antiviral Response. PLoS Pathog, 12: e1005880.
- Boehm JS, Zhao JJ, Yao J, Kim SY, Firestein R, Dunn IF, Sjostrom SK, Garraway LA, Weremowicz S, Richardson AL, Greulich H, Stewart CJ, Mulvey LA, Shen RR, Ambrogio L, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, Hill DE, Vidal M, Meyerson M, Grenier JK, Hinkle G, Root DE, Roberts TM, Lander ES, Polyak K, Hahn WC. 2007. Integrative genomic approaches identify IKBKE as a breast cancer oncogene. Cell, 129: 1065–1079.
- Bonnard M, Mirtsos C, Suzuki S, Graham K, Huang J, Ng M, Itie A, Wakeham A, Shahinian A, Henzel WJ, Elia AJ, Shillinglaw W, Mak TW, Cao Z, Yeh WC. 2000. Deficiency of T2K leads to apoptotic liver degeneration and impaired NF-kappaB-dependent gene transcription. EMBO J, 19: 4976–4985.
- Brubaker SW, Bonham KS, Zanoni I, Kagan JC. 2015. Innate immune pattern recognition: a cell biological perspective. Annu Rev Immunol, 33: 257–290.
- Cardenas WB, Loo YM, Gale M, Jr., Hartman AL, Kimberlin CR, Martinez-Sobrido L, Saphire EO, Basler CF. 2006. Ebola virus VP35 protein binds double-stranded RNA and inhibits alpha/beta interferon production induced by RIG-I signaling. J Virol, 80: 5168–5178.
- Challa S, Guo JP, Ding X, Xu CX, Li Y, Kim D, Smith MA, Cress DW, Coppola D, Haura EB, Cheng JQ. 2016. IKBKE Is a Substrate of EGFR and a Therapeutic Target in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with Activating Mutations of EGFR. Cancer Res, 76: 4418–4429.
- Chiang SH, Bazuine M, Lumeng CN, Geletka LM, Mowers J, White NM, Ma JT, Zhou J, Qi N, Westcott D, Delproposto JB,

Blackwell TS, Yull FE, Saltiel AR. 2009. The protein kinase IKKepsilon regulates energy balance in obese mice. Cell, 138: 961–975.

- Clark K, Peggie M, Plater L, Sorcek RJ, Young ER, Madwed JB, Hough J, McIver EG, Cohen P. 2010. Novel cross-talk within the IKK family controls innate immunity. Biochem J, 434: 93–104.
- Clark K, Plater L, Peggie M, Cohen P. 2009. Use of the pharmacological inhibitor BX795 to study the regulation and physiological roles of TBK1 and IkappaB kinase epsilon: a distinct upstream kinase mediates Ser-172 phosphorylation and activation. J Biol Chem, 284: 14136–14146.
- Clement JF, Meloche S, Servant MJ. 2008. The IKK-related kinases: from innate immunity to oncogenesis. Cell Res, 18: 889–899.
- Fitzgerald KA, McWhirter SM, Faia KL, Rowe DC, Latz E, Golenbock DT, Coyle AJ, Liao SM, Maniatis T. 2003. IKKepsilon and TBK1 are essential components of the IRF3 signaling pathway. Nat Immunol, 4: 491–496.
- Gulen MF, Bulek K, Xiao H, Yu M, Gao J, Sun L, Beurel E, Kaidanovich-Beilin O, Fox PL, DiCorleto PE, Wang JA, Qin J, Wald DN, Woodgett JR, Jope RS, Carman J, Dongre A, Li X. 2012. Inactivation of the enzyme GSK3alpha by the kinase IKKi promotes AKT-mTOR signaling pathway that mediates interleukin-1-induced Th17 cell maintenance. Immunity, 37: 800–812.
- Guo JP, Shu SK, He L, Lee YC, Kruk PA, Grenman S, Nicosia SV, Mor G, Schell MJ, Coppola D, Cheng JQ. 2009. Deregulation of IKBKE is associated with tumor progression, poor prognosis, and cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer. Am J Pathol, 175: 324–333.
- Hasan M, Yan N. 2016. Therapeutic potential of targeting TBK1 in autoimmune diseases and interferonopathies. Pharmacol Res, 111: 336–342.
- Hemmi H, Takeuchi O, Sato S, Yamamoto M, Kaisho T, Sanjo H, Kawai T, Hoshino K, Takeda K, Akira S. 2004. The roles of two IkappaB kinase-related kinases in lipopolysaccharide and double stranded RNA signaling and viral infection. J Exp Med, 199: 1641–1650.
- Hutti JE, Porter MA, Cheely AW, Cantley LC, Wang X, Kireev D, Baldwin AS, Janzen WP. 2012. Development of a highthroughput assay for identifying inhibitors of TBK1 and IKKepsilon. PLoS One, 7: e41494.
- Hutti JE, Shen RR, Abbott DW, Zhou AY, Sprott KM, Asara JM, Hahn WC, Cantley LC. 2009. Phosphorylation of the tumor suppressor CYLD by the breast cancer oncogene IKKepsilon promotes cell transformation. Mol Cell, 34: 461–472.
- Johnson EN, Lee YM, Sander TL, Rabkin E, Schoen FJ, Kaushal S, Bischoff J. 2003. NFATc1 mediates vascular endothelial growth factor-induced proliferation of human pulmonary valve endothelial cells. J Biol Chem, 278: 1686–1692.
- Kaukinen P, Sillanpaa M, Nousiainen L, Melen K, Julkunen I. 2012. Hepatitis C virus NS2 protease inhibits host cell antiviral response by inhibiting IKKepsilon and TBK1 functions. J Med Virol, 85: 71–82.
- Kiani A, Garcia-Cozar FJ, Habermann I, Laforsch S, Aebischer T, Ehninger G, Rao A. 2001. Regulation of interferon-gamma gene expression by nuclear factor of activated T cells. Blood, 98: 1480–1488.
- Kim JY, Welsh EA, Oguz U, Fang B, Bai Y, Kinose F, Bronk C, Remsing Rix LL, Beg AA, Rix U, Eschrich SA, Koomen JM, Haura EB. 2013. Dissection of TBK1 signaling via phosphoproteomics in lung cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110: 12414–12419.

- Liang D, Gao Y, Lin X, He Z, Zhao Q, Deng Q, Lan K. 2011. A human herpesvirus miRNA attenuates interferon signaling and contributes to maintenance of viral latency by targeting IKKepsilon. Cell Res, 21: 793–806.
- Liang Q, Fu B, Wu F, Li X, Yuan Y, Zhu F. 2012. ORF45 of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus inhibits phosphorylation of interferon regulatory factor 7 by IKKepsilon and TBK1 as an alternative substrate. J Virol, 86: 10162–10172.
- Lin D, Zhang M, Zhang MX, Ren Y, Jin J, Zhao Q, Pan Z, Wu M, Shu HB, Dong C, Zhong B. 2015. Induction of USP25 by viral infection promotes innate antiviral responses by mediating the stabilization of TRAF3 and TRAF6. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112: 11324–11329.
- Lu LL, Puri M, Horvath CM, Sen GC. 2008. Select paramyxoviral V proteins inhibit IRF3 activation by acting as alternative substrates for inhibitor of kappaB kinase epsilon (IKKe)/TBK1. J Biol Chem, 283: 14269–14276.
- McLaughlin-Drubin ME, Munger K. 2008. Viruses associated with human cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta, 1782: 127–150.
- McWhirter SM, Fitzgerald KA, Rosains J, Rowe DC, Golenbock DT, Maniatis T. 2004. IFN-regulatory factor 3-dependent gene expression is defective in Tbk1-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 101: 233–238.
- Moore PS, Chang Y. 2010. Why do viruses cause cancer? Highlights of the first century of human tumour virology. Nat Rev Cancer, 10: 878–889.
- Muller MR, Rao A. 2010. NFAT, immunity and cancer: a transcription factor comes of age. Nat Rev Immunol, 10: 645–656.
- Peant B, Gilbert S, Le Page C, Poisson A, L'Ecuyer E, Boudhraa Z, Bienz MN, Delvoye N, Saad F, Mes-Masson AM. 2016. IkappaB-Kinase-epsilon (IKKepsilon) over-expression promotes the growth of prostate cancer through the C/EBP-beta dependent activation of IL-6 gene expression. Oncotarget. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.11629.
- Perwitasari O, Cho H, Diamond MS, Gale M, Jr. 2011. Inhibitor of kappaB kinase epsilon (IKK(epsilon)), STAT1, and IFIT2 proteins define novel innate immune effector pathway against West Nile virus infection. J Biol Chem, 286: 44412–44423.
- Peters RT, Liao SM, Maniatis T. 2000. IKKepsilon is part of a novel PMA-inducible IkappaB kinase complex. Mol Cell, 5: 513–522.
- Platanias LC. 2005. Mechanisms of type-I-and type-II-interferonmediated signalling. Nat Rev Immunol, 5: 375–386.
- Postow MA, Callahan MK, Wolchok JD. 2015. Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Cancer Therapy. J Clin Oncol, 33: 1974– 1982.
- Prins KC, Cardenas WB, Basler CF. 2009. Ebola virus protein VP35 impairs the function of interferon regulatory factor-activating kinases IKKepsilon and TBK-1. J Virol, 83: 3069–3077.
- Rajsbaum R, Versteeg GA, Schmid S, Maestre AM, Belicha-Villanueva A, Martinez-Romero C, Patel JR, Morrison J, Pisanelli G, Miorin L, Laurent-Rolle M, Moulton HM, Stein DA, Fernandez-Sesma A, tenOever BR, Garcia-Sastre A. 2014. Unanchored K48-linked polyubiquitin synthesized by the E3-ubiquitin ligase TRIM6 stimulates the interferon-IKKepsilon kinasemediated antiviral response. Immunity, 40: 880–895.
- Reilly SM, Chiang SH, Decker SJ, Chang L, Uhm M, Larsen MJ, Rubin JR, Mowers J, White NM, Hochberg I, Downes M, Yu RT, Liddle C, Evans RM, Oh D, Li P, Olefsky JM, Saltiel AR. 2013. An inhibitor of the protein kinases TBK1 and IKK-varepsilon improves obesity-related metabolic dysfunctions in mice. Nat Med, 19: 313–321.
- Ren Y, Zhao Y, Lin D, Xu X, Zhu Q, Yao J, Shu HB, Zhong B. 2016. The Type I Interferon-IRF7 Axis Mediates Transcriptional

Expression of Usp25 Gene. J Biol Chem, 291: 13206–13215.

- Sharma S, tenOever BR, Grandvaux N, Zhou GP, Lin R, Hiscott J. 2003. Triggering the interferon antiviral response through an IKK-related pathway. Science, 300: 1148–1151.
- Shen RR, Hahn WC. 2010. Emerging roles for the non-canonical IKKs in cancer. Oncogene, 30: 631–641.
- Shimada T, Kawai T, Takeda K, Matsumoto M, Inoue J, Tatsumi Y, Kanamaru A, Akira S. 1999. IKK-i, a novel lipopolysaccharide-inducible kinase that is related to IkappaB kinases. Int Immunol, 11: 1357–1362.
- Teijaro JR, Ng C, Lee AM, Sullivan BM, Sheehan KC, Welch M, Schreiber RD, de la Torre JC, Oldstone MB. 2013. Persistent LCMV infection is controlled by blockade of type I interferon signaling. Science, 340: 207–211.
- Tenoever BR, Ng SL, Chua MA, McWhirter SM, Garcia-Sastre A, Maniatis T. 2007. Multiple functions of the IKK-related kinase IKKepsilon in interferon-mediated antiviral immunity. Science, 315: 1274–1278.
- Verhelst K, Verstrepen L, Carpentier I, Beyaert R. 2013. IkappaB kinase epsilon (IKKepsilon): a therapeutic target in inflammation and cancer. Biochem Pharmacol, 85: 873–880.
- Wang T, Block MA, Cowen S, Davies AM, Devereaux E, Gingipalli L, Johannes J, Larsen NA, Su Q, Tucker JA, Whitston D, Wu J, Zhang HJ, Zinda M, Chuaqui C. 2012. Discovery of azabenzimidazole derivatives as potent, selective inhibitors of TBK1/IKKepsilon kinases. Bioorg Med Chem Lett, 22: 2063–2069.
- Wang Y, Lu X, Zhu L, Shen Y, Chengedza S, Feng H, Wang L, Jung JU, Gutkind JS, Feng P. 2013. IKK epsilon kinase is crucial for viral G protein-coupled receptor tumorigenesis. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110: 11139-11144.

- Wilson EB, Yamada DH, Elsaesser H, Herskovitz J, Deng J, Cheng G, Aronow BJ, Karp CL, Brooks DG. 2013. Blockade of chronic type I interferon signaling to control persistent LCMV infection. Science, 340: 202–207.
- Wu X, Qi X, Qu B, Zhang Z, Liang M, Li C, Cardona CJ, Li D, Xing Z. 2013. Evasion of antiviral immunity through sequestering of TBK1/IKKepsilon/IRF3 into viral inclusion bodies. J Virol, 88: 3067–3076.
- Xie X, Zhang D, Zhao B, Lu MK, You M, Condorelli G, Wang CY, Guan KL. 2011. IkappaB kinase epsilon and TANK-binding kinase 1 activate AKT by direct phosphorylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 108: 6474–6479.
- Yu J, Zhou X, Chang M, Nakaya M, Chang JH, Xiao Y, Lindsey JW, Dorta-Estremera S, Cao W, Zal A, Zal T, Sun SC. 2015. Regulation of T-cell activation and migration by the kinase TBK1 during neuroinflammation. Nat Commun, 6: 6074.
- Zeng W, Sun L, Jiang X, Chen X, Hou F, Adhikari A, Xu M, Chen ZJ. 2010. Reconstitution of the RIG-I pathway reveals a signaling role of unanchored polyubiquitin chains in innate immunity. Cell, 141: 315–330.
- Zhang J, Feng H, Zhao J, Feldman ER, Chen SY, Yuan W, Huang C, Akbari O, Tibbetts SA, Feng P. 2016. IkappaB Kinase epsilon is an NFATc1 kinase that inhibits T cell immune response. Cell Rep, 16: 405–418.
- Zhao W. 2013. Negative regulation of TBK1-mediated antiviral immunity. FEBS Lett, 587: 542–548.
- Zitvogel L, Kroemer G. 2012. Targeting PD-1/PD-L1 interactions for cancer immunotherapy. Oncoimmunology, 1: 1223–1225.